



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1718 of 2018**  
**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16567 of 2012**

- 
1. Deo Kumar Vishwakarma Son of Saree Ram Bhajan Mistri Resident of Mohalla - Manpur Bazar, P.S. Buniyadganj, District - Gaya.
  2. Binod Kumar Yadav Son of Shree Dhannu Yadav Resident of Village - Imaliyachak, Katari Hill Road, P.S. - Rampur, District - Gaya.
  3. Raj Kumar Vishwakarma Son of Shree Ram Bhajan Mishtri Resident of Mohalla Manpur Bazar, P.S. Buniyadganj, District Gaya.
  4. Manoj Kumar Son of Shree Krishna Prasad Resident of Village Janakpur, Shyam Talkies Gali, District - Gaya.
  5. Prakash Kumar Son of Late Panchu Manjhi Resident of Village Bhatbigha, Katari Hill Road, P.S. Rampur, District Gaya.
  6. Munna Paswan Son of Shree Rajkumar Paswan Resident of Village Baradin, P.S. Baragandhar, District Gaya.
  7. Shankar Kumar Vishwakarma Son of Shree Ram Kishun Mistri Resident of Village - Tilha, Dharmashala Western Gate, District - Gaya.
  8. Barhan Paswan Son of Shree Babu Lal Paswan Resident of Mohalla - Kandi, Bitho Sharif, District Gaya.
  9. Shiv Kumar Son of Shree Raju Paswan Resident of Village - Bageshwari New Colony, C/o Bhadani Complex, Panchbigha, District Gaya.
  10. Mahesh Prasad Son of Late Narayan Das Resident of Village Bageshwari, P.S. Gaya, District - Gaya.
  11. Ramashish Pandit Son of Shree Babulal Pandit Resident of Village Bishuganj, Civil Aerodrum, District Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sri Abhishek Kumar Singh, The District Magistrate, Gaya.
3. Md. Estyak Ajmal, The Deputy Collector, Establishment, Gaya.

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Verma, AAG-3

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**

ORAL ORDER

4      07-05-2026

Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the





following relief(s):

*“for initiation of contempt proceeding against the contemnors/opposite parties for their willful disobedience of the order dated 08.02.2018 passed in CWJC No. 16567 of 2012.”*

3. The Writ Court chose not to give any direction while disposing of the writ petition though granting liberty to the petitioners to apply in view of any fresh advertisement.

4. This Court accept the show cause which shows that the contempt is not maintainable.

4. Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands disposed of.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

