



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11796 of 2016

1. Rameshwar Prasad
2. Ramjee Prasad
3. Sheo nath Prasad
4. Bharath prasad
5. Ajay Kumar Gupta All the 5 sons of Awatar Sah
6. Kalawati Devi wife of Late Krishna Prasad.
7. Raju Kumar Gupta
8. Rahul Kumar Gupta
9. Raman Kumar Gupta
10. Ravi Kuma Gupta All the 4 sons of Late Krishna Prasad
11. Brij Nandan Prasad Gupta
12. Anil Kumar Both sons of Late Sita Ram Sah
13. Laxman Prasad son of Late Ram Narayan Prasad residents of village-
Makhdumpur Dumra, P.O.- Barka Dumra, Police Station- Ara Muffasil,
District- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Director, Consolidation, Bihar.
3. Consolidation Officer, Arrah Muffasil, District- Bhojpur at Ara.
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms. Arrah
5. Pandeya Gajendra Prasad Son of Late Pandeya Sharda Prasad resident of
village- Makhdumpur Dumra, P.O.- Baraka Dumra, P.S.- Arrah Muffasil ,
District- Bhojpur
6. Pinku Kumar son of Late Satya Narayan Prasad resident of Village -
Makhdumpur Dumra, P.O.- Baraka Dumra, P.S. _Arrah Muffasil, District
-Bhojpur

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Anwar Karim, AC to GP-10

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 13-10-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioners though the
State is present.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:





(i) for quashing the order dated 04.03.2016 passed by Hon'ble Justice Smt. Mridula Mishra, Chairman, Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna in B.L.T. Case No.616/2014 where-by and where under she has been pleased to set aside the order dated 29.10.2012, passed by the Director of Consolidation, Bihar, Patna in Consolidation Revision Case No. 76 of 2011;

(ii) for quashing the order dated 27.04.1991 passed by Consolidation Officer, Arrah in Consolidation Case no.76 of 1991 whereby and where under he has passed the order against the petitioners without making them as opposite parties as well as without noticing and hearing them.

3. On earlier occasion also, prayer for adjournment was made, it seems the petitioners have lost interest in the case.

4. Accordingly, the same is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

