



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2673 of 2025**

1. Vikash Kumar Son of Hari Shankar Roy, Resident of Village- Madudabad, Ward No.- 08, Pachiyari Tola, P.O.- Mohiuddin Nagar (R.S.), P.S.- Mohiuddin Nagar, District- Samastipur, Bihar.
2. Kajal Kumari, Wife of Ranger Aswan Resident of Village- Bhuiya Ashthan, Andaur Tola, Hanuman Nagar, P.S.- Mohiuddin Nagar, District- Samastipur, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The State Project Director, Bihar Education Project Council, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Education Officer, Samastipur.
5. The District Program Officer, Primary Education and Sarv Siksha Abhiyan, Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                      |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Ravi Nandan                      |
| For the BEPC         | : | Mr. Girijesh Kumar                   |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Additional Advocate General (12) |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 7      09-12-2025
1. Heard learned counsel for the parties concerned.
  2. The petitioner has claimed payment of wages as ICT Instructor for the period from 18.12.2023 to 31.03.2024.
  3. Admittedly, the petitioner was appointed on the post of ICT Instructor by Skratch Industries Private Limited which was acting as vendor for establishment and operation of ICT Computer Labs.
  4. Since, the petitioner was appointed by the outsource





agency, the liability for payment of his wages rests upon the vendor/agency and not upon the State Government and/or its authority.

5. Accordingly, this Court cannot direct the state-respondent to make payment of wages to the petitioner.
6. In view of the above, this Court finds no merit in the present writ application and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.
7. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to pursue his remedy before an appropriate forum for redressal of his grievance, if so advised.

**(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)**

ashwani/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

