



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22042 of 2018

-
1. Ram Bilash Sahu and Ors Son of Late Mohan Sahu,
 2. Ram Bharosh Sahu, Son of Late Mohan Sahu,
 3. Sanjay Kumar Sahu, Son of Late Jagdish Pd. Sahu, All are residents of Village- Chharapatti Tumaul, P.S.- Ghanshyampur, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Higher Education, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Darbhanga.
5. The District Education Officer, Darbhanga.
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Biraul, Darbhanga.
7. The Circle Officer, Ghanshyampur, Darbhanga.
8. Sushila Devi, Wife of Late Tarni Pd. Singh, Resident of VillagePost-Tumaul, P.S.- Ghanshyampur, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pankaj Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Madanjeet Kumar, GP-20

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 14-10-2025 Heard Mr. Pankaj Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned counsel representing the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) for issuance of an appropriate
writ/s, order/s, direction/s to the respondent to
remove Tarni-Sushila High School, Taumal*





which has been constructed in private land of the petitioners situated in Khata no.39, Kheshra no. 392 and 393, Mauza- Chharapatti, Area 9 Kathas and 13 dhurs;

(ii) for issuance of an appropriate writ/s, order/s, direction/s to the respondents to pay ad valorem rate of the land prevalent in the area at present time in lieu of not replacing the school;

(iii) for issuance of an appropriate writ/s, order/s, direction/s to the respondent no. 4 to initiate an enquiry with regard to donating the land in the name of His Highness the Governor of Bihar by respondent no. 8 fraudulently and there by divested the petitioners from their private land and there after register a criminal case against the respondent no. 8;

(iv) to pass such other order/s as your lordship may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case;”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition to approach





appropriate authority/competent civil court for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Granting said liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

