



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4375 of 2025

Marni Devi W/o Late Ram Bahadur Mahto, Resident of Village and P.O.-
Jainagar, P.S.- Sonbarsa, District- Sitamahri, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Land Reforms and Revenue Department, Govt. of Bihar.
2. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Sitamarhi Sadar.
3. The Circle Officer, Sonbarsa, District- Sitamarhi.
4. Superintendent of Police (SP), Sitamarhi.
5. The Station-In-Charge, Sonbarsa, District- Sitamarhi.
6. Bhuvneshar Rai, S/O Modnaryan Rai, R/O Village and P.O.- Jainagar, P.S.- Sonbarsa, District- Sitamarhi, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Pleader (5)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 05-05-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been preferred for the following relief(s):

(1) For issuance of appropriate order/s, direction/s including a writ preferably in the nature of MANDAMUS directing the Respondent No.-3 to get the land situated at Mauza- Jainagar, Revenue Thana-Jainagar, Thana No.57, Khata No.-376, Survey Plot No.-907, measuring land area 11 decimal and Plot 908 measuring decimal, demarcated with the help of Anchal Amin Sonbarsa, Parihar and SHO Sonbarsa





in light of the order passed by Respondent No.-908 DCLR vide Annexure P/3 14 1½.

(II) This Hon'ble Court be further pleased to direct the respondent authorities preferably the respondent no.-3 & 5 to immediately demarcate the land in question in light of the order passed by Respondent DCLR contained in Annexure-P/13 and restore the possession of the personal immovable property of the petitioner who had been forcefully dispossessed by the private respondent no.-6 despite the petitioner having absolute title over the property in question vide decree passed in T. S. No. 83/10 dated 15.03.2012-from the Court of Additional Judge (ADJ-1) Sitamarhi in favour of the petitioner.

(III) For issuance of a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no.-4 and 5 to restrain private respondent no. 6 from creating hurdle in the measurement/demarcation of the land in question as per the report of the anchal amin (Annexure P/6)

(IV) For any other appropriate relief/reliefs to which the petitioner is found entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the





petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the respondent no. 3, the Circle Officer, Sonbarsa, Sitamarhi along with proper petition/ fee/ document for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Learned State Counsel submits that if such petition is filed, it will be taken to its logical conclusion.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the concerned respondent who shall be noticing/hearing all the parties and shall decide the case of the petitioner in accordance with law preferably by the end of the year.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

