

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

**MAIN CASE No: M.A.C.M.A.No.783 of 2013 and
M.A.C.M.A.(SR).No.27752 of 2014**

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
07.	25.06.2026	<u>AHHS, J</u> <u>M.A.C.M.A.No.783 of 2013</u> This appeal is filed against the order and decree dated 23.08.2012 in M.V.O.P.No.425 of 2008. Respondent Nos.2 and 3 (the driver and owner) therein are the appellants herein and filed the appeal i.e. M.A.C.M.A.No.783 of 2013. Respondent Nos.1 and 2 before the learned Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Kadapa appeared to be the owners of the vehicle involved in the accident and respondent No.1 therein sold the Car to respondent No.2. M.V.O.P.No.425 of 2008 was partly allowed against respondent Nos.1 and 2/owners of the vehicle and the petition was dismissed against the driver. <u>M.A.C.M.A. (SR) No.27752 of 2014</u> This Appeal is filed by the claimants disputing the	

		quantum of compensation awarded and liability. M.A.C.M.A.(SR).No.27752 of 2014 is at the stage of service of notice. Learned counsel for the appellant would submit that proof of service is filed in the office. However, it is relevant to note that when respondent Nos.2 and 3 are already appearing in M.A.C.M.A.No.783 of 2013 arising out of the same case, they can take notice to avoid the delay. Therefore, the appellant is directed to cause service of notice on the learned counsel who is appearing in M.A.C.M.A.No.783 of 2013 in respect of respondent Nos.2 and 3 in the appeal and in respect of service of notice to respondent No.1. Put up note. List the matter on 10.07.2026 under the same caption. AHHS, J RMR	
--	--	---	--