



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3368]

THURSDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B V L N CHAKRAVARTHI
WRIT PETITION NO: 46241/2018**

Between:

1.M.ABDUL RAWOOF, S/O.M.ABDUL GAFAR SAB, AGED
ABOUT 63 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS, R/O.D.NO.5-9-161,
RAHAMATPUR , HINDUPUR,ANANTAPURAM DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY (HOME DEPARTMENT), SECRETARIAT,
VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI.

2.THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, HINDUPUR I TOWN POLICE
STATION, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

3.K IDRIES, S/O.K.HASAN, AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
OCC.BUSINESS, R/O.INDIES PRINTERS, DL ROAD,
HINDUPUR,ANANTAPURAM DISTRICT.

4.D SRINIVASULU, S/O.LATE DA. OBULESU, AGED ABOUT 50
YEARS, OCC.BUSINESS, R/O.D.NO.27-6-197-B, MAIN ROAD,
LAKSHMI NAGAR, MUDDIREDDYPALLI,
HINDUPUR,ANANTAPURAM DISTRICT.

5.BANDARU BALAJI, S/O.NOT KONWN, AGED ABOUT 50
YEARS, OCC.EX-MUNICIPAL VICE CHAIRMAN, HINDUPUR
TOWN AND MANDAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the actions of the Respondent No.2 in not considering the representation / complaint dated 03.12.2018 for grant of police aid and taking any action against the Respondent Nos.3 to 5 so far and inspite of several requests and Personal approaches of the petitioner is illegal, arbitrary, improper, and unconstitutional and against the procedure

contemplated under the code of criminal procedure and consequently direct the Respondent No.2 to consider the representation/written complaint dated 03-12-2018 of the petitioner for grant of police aid and to take action against the Respondent Nos. 3 to 5 forthwith and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent No.2 to consider the representation/written complaint dated 03-12-2018 of the petitioner for grant of police aid and to take action against the Respondent Nos. 3 to 5 forthwith pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.V FAROOK

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME (AP)

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.V.L.N.CHAKRAVARTHI**WRIT PETITION No.46241 of 2018****ORDER:**

There is no representation for the petitioner, though the matter is posted today under the caption 'For Dismissal'. Even on earlier occasions, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

2. Sri R. Mallikarjuna Rao, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home representing respondent Nos.1 and 2, is in attendance.

3. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the case.

4. Hence, this Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, interlocutory applications, if any, pending in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B.V.L.N. CHAKRAVARTHI

4th December, 2025
cbn

75

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.V.L.N. CHAKRAVARTHI

WRIT PETITION No.46241 of 2018

4th December, 2025

cbn