

APHC010864972017



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3368]

FRIDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B V L N CHAKRAVARTHI
CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 2914/2017

Between:

1. CHEKURTHI RAVI BABU, GUNTUR DT., S/O. PRASADA RAO,
OCC : PANCHANAYAT BOARD PRESIDENT/ SOCIAL WORKER,
R/O. H.NO. 1-84, NEAR LEPP SCHOOL, VENKATAPALEM
VILLAGE, TULLURU MANDAL, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP REP PP 2 OTRS, REP BY ITS PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT
HYDERABAD, FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & A.P.,
HYDERABAD.

2. VADLAPATI NAGASUDHA RANI CHEKURTHY NAGASUDHA
RANI, W/O. CHEKURTHI RAVI BABU, D/O. V. VARA PRASAD,
OCC : HOUSE WIFE (WORKING WOMAN), R/O. D.NO. 8-32/1-7,
WYNCHIPET, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT.

3. CHEKURTHI PRANATHI, D/O. CHEKURTHI RAVI BABU, C/O. V.
VARA PRASAD, BEING MINOR REP. BY HER MOTHER AS
NATURAL GUARDIAN, NOW RESIDING UNDER THE SHELTER
OF HER RELATIVES, R/O. D.NO. 8-32/1-7, WYNCHIPET,
VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Revision filed under Section 397/401 of CrPC praying that in the
circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the
Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to present this
memorandum of grounds of CrI.Rc. being aggrieved by the order and
decree passed in Mc.No. 276 of 2014 dated 19-7-2017 on the file of the
Family Court cum IV Additional District and Sessions Judge at
Vijayawada, Krishna District for the following among other;

IA NO: 1 OF 2017(CRLRCMP 4746 OF 2017)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.G VIJAYA SARADHI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (AP)

2.S S PRAKASH

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.V.L.N.CHAKRAVARTHI**CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.2914 of 2017****ORDER:**

Sri G. Vijaya Saradhi, learned counsel for the revision petitioner/husband, would submit that at this length of time, the revision petitioner/husband is willing to pay the maintenance amount as ordered by the learned trial Court and, hence, no further orders are necessary in the criminal revision case.

2. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the 1st respondent-State is in attendance.

3. Sri S.S. Prakash, learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3, is in attendance.

4. In the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the revision petitioner/husband that no further orders are necessary in the criminal revision case, the Criminal Revision Case is closed without going into the merits of the case. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, interlocutory applications, if any, pending in this Criminal Revision Case shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B.V.L.N. CHAKRAVARTHI

30th January, 2026
cbn

117

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.V.L.N. CHAKRAVARTHI

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No: 2914 OF 2017

30th January, 2026

cbn