



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3369]

FRIDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T MALLIKARJUNA RAO

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 2845/2018

Between:

1. TALARI RAMANAMMA AND 8 OTHERS, R/O. POTHABOLU HARIJANAWADA, H/O. POTHABOLU, MADANAPALLE MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.
2. TALARI VENKATARAMANA, R/O. POTHABOLU HARIJANAWADA, H/O. POTHABOLU, MADANAPALLE MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.
3. BODE GANGA DEVI, R/O. RACHAVETIVARIPALLE, H/O. GARABURUJU, NIMMANAPALLE MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT
4. BODE SREENIVASULU, R/O. RACHAVETIVARIPALLE, H/O. GARABURUJU, NIMMANAPALLE MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT
5. GUTTAMEEDA SIVAKUMAR, R/O. POTHABOLU HARIJANAWADA, H/O. POTHABOLU, MADANAPALLE MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.
6. TALARI MANGAMMA, R/O. REGADAGOLLAPALLE, H/O. C.T.M, MADANAPALLE MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.
7. PICHU RAMACHANDRA, R/O. POTHABOLU HARIJANAWADA, H/O. POTHABOLU, MADANAPALLE MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.
8. PASAM NARAYANA, R/O. KOYYALAVARIPALLE, H/O. POTHABOLU, MADANAPALLE MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.
9. KONKI APPI REDDY, R/O. KONKIVARIPALLE, H/O. POTHABOLU, MADANAPALLE MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH AND ANOTHER, REP. BY THE SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE, TALUK POLICE STATION, MADANAPALLE, CHITTOOR DISTRICT, THROUGH THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT, HYDERABAD.
- 2.PICHI YERRAMMA, R/O. POTHABOLUHARIJANAWADA, H/O. POTHABOLU, MADANAPALLE MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

- 1.P V VIDYASAGAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (AP)

The Court made the following:

ORDER

1. This Criminal Revision Case has been filed by the petitioners/accused under Sections 397 and 401 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') against the order dated 17.08.2018 passed in Crl.M.P.No.6097 of 2017 in C.C.No.42 of 2015 on the file of learned II Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Madanapalle (for short, 'the trial Court').

2. Heard and perused the record.

3. As seen from the record, this Criminal Revision Case has been filed against the order dated 17.08.2018 passed in Crl.M.P.No.6097 of 2017 in C.C.No.42 of 2015 on the file of learned II Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Madanapalle. The Crl.M.P.No.6097 of 2017 has been filed by the petitioners/A.1 to A.10 seeking to discharge them from the C.C.No.42 of 2015. By impugned order dated 17.08.2018, the trial Court dismissed the petition. Against the said order, the petitioners herein preferred the revision.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the trial Court has commenced the trial and has already examined four witnesses and cause does not survive for adjudication.

5. Recording the said submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner this Court finds the cause does not survive for adjudication and the matter would become infructuous.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Revision Case is ***dismissed as infructuous.***

Interim orders granted earlier, if any, shall stand vacated and the miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE T. MALLIKARJUNA RAO

Date: 05.12.2025

SR

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T. MALLIKARJUNA RAO

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.2845 of 2018

Date: 05.12.2025

SR