



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3560]

MONDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 980/2013

Between:

Veerella Sathi Raju

...PETITIONER

AND

The State of AP Another and others

...RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. SRIMAN

Counsel for the Respondents:

1. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (AP)

The Court made the following:

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already expired.

2. A report dated 06.11.2025, which has been placed on record by the District Legal Services Authority, East Godavari District, states *inter alia* that the petitioner, namely Veerella Sathiraju, had expired. The report also contains a copy of the Death Certificate, which reflects that the petitioner had expired on 19.06.2015.

3. The instant Criminal Revision Case has been preferred challenging a concurrent finding of learned Court below in respect of the order of conviction passed against the present petitioner under Section 138 of N. I. Act.

4. As the petitioner has already expired, there is no merit in proceeding with the challenge to the order passed by the learned Court below.

5. Under the above observation, the instant Criminal Revision Case is disposed of as infructuous. As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

SUBHENDU SAMANTA, J

Date: 01.12.2025.
SSN