

APHC010760952014



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

TUESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 34558/2014

Between:

1.K. RAMANA REDDY,, S/O CHINNAPPA REDDY, HINDU, AGED ABOUT 26 YEARS, D.NO.20-2-507/B2, KORLAGUNTA, MARUTHI NAGAR, TIRUPATI, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF A P, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT, SECRETARIAT, HYDERABAD

2.TIRUPATI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, TIRUPATI, CHITTOOR DISTRICT, REPD. BY ITS COMMISSIONER.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in issuing Notices U.C/B.A. No. 88/2014 dated 20.10.2014 and U.C.B.A No. 2014 dated 28.10.2014 without considering the explanation dated 25.10.2014 submitted by the petitioner, directing him to remove the structures besides Door No. 20-4-34/G2, S.No. 126/1, 3 & 4, Kothapalli Residential Area, Tirupati, Chittoor District, as illegal, improper, unjust and contrary to law and further direct the 2nd respondent not to proceed for demolition of the above premises of the petitioner and pass.

IA NO: 1 OF 2014(WPMP 43225 OF 2014)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the operation of the Notices U.C/B.ANo.88/2014 dated 20.10.2014 and U.C.B.ANo.2014 dated 28.10.2014 issued by the 2nd respondent pending disposal of the Writ Petition and pass.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.MAHADEVA KANTHRIGALA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.B D MAHESWARA REDDY

2.GP-MUNCIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV(AP)

3.K JANARDHANA RAO

ORDER:

1. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
2. Sri P. Vengala Reddy, learned Standing counsel, appears for 2nd respondent.
3. The petitioner has filed the present writ petition alleging that notices dated 20.10.2014 and 28.10.2014 were issued without considering the explanation submitted by the petitioner on 25.10.2014. The said notices were issued by the 2nd respondent calling upon the petitioner to remove the structures bearing Door No.20-4-34/G2,S.No.126/1, 3 and 4, situated at Kothapalli Residential Area, Tirupati, Chittoor District.
4. The learned Standing counsel appearing for the 2nd respondent submits that, as there is no interim relief granted in the present writ petition, the respondent authorities would have taken further steps pursuant to the notices.
5. Recording the same, the writ petition is closed, leaving it open to the petitioner to file a fresh writ petition, if the situation so demands. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

Date:16.06.2026
NKA

107

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 34558 of 2014

Date: 16.06.2026

NKA