



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

SATURDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 39378/2013

Between:

1. TALLAPUDI RAMA KRISHNA, S/O LATE T. NARAYANAPPADU,
AGE 51 YEARS OCC: WATCHMEN R/O D.NO.4-5,
Ayyannapeta(Village), Vijayanagaram. District .

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOUSING DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, HYDERABAD

2. THE A P HOUSING BOARD, REP. BY ITS VICE CHAIRMAN &
HOUSING COMMISSIONER GRUHAKALPA, M.J. ROAD,
HYDERABAD.

3. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HOUSING, A.P HOUSING
BOARD, VIZAG DIVISION, VIZAG : DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the petitioner to re-instate them into their respective services on par with other similarly situated employees, even after setting aside the retrenchment notice dated

8.4.2005 in W.P.No. 8391 of 2005 and batch on 8.2.2011, and even though there is surplus work to execute various projects in the 2nd respondent board is arbitrary, illegal, and in violation of Article 14,16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and set aside the notice dt.8.4.2005 and consequently direct the respondents to reinstate the petitioner into service on the same terms and conditions as in case of other retrenched employees forthwith

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 48897 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 2nd respondent to consider the case of the petitioner for engaging their services at the work in the Projects on hand for execution pending disposal of the Writ petition and in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.G JYOTHI KIRAN

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.D RANGANATHA KUMAR

2.GP FOR HOUSING

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION No.39378 OF 2013

ORDER:

Despite the matter being listed under the caption for dismissal, today none represented for the petitioner(s). On earlier occasion also, there was no representation for the petitioner(s).

Therefore, this Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

Date:31.01.2026
NKA

125

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 39378 of 2013

Date: 31.01.2026

NKA