



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3573]

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2124/2013

Between:

1.CHENNAGIRI SUDHAKAR, S/O SURYANARAYANA, HINDU, JUTE
MERCHANT, MAIN BAZAR, VIJAYAWADA-1.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.CHENNAGIRI BABU RAO, S/O LATE SURYANARAYANA, HINDU,
OCC :- PROPERTIES, R/O VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DIST.

2.CHENNAGIRI RAMAKRISHNA MURALI, S/O SURYANARAYANA,
HINDU, OCC :- JUTE MERCHANT, MAIN BAZAR, VIJAYAWADA-1.

3.KONDISETTI BABY SAROJINI DIED, -

4.GADEMSETTY SWARAJALAKSHMI, W/O RAMA MOHANA RAO,
HINDU, JUTE MERCHANT, MAIN BAZAR, VIJAYAWADA-1.

5.TADIKAMALLA BHARATA LAKSHMI, W/O MADHUSUDHANA RAO,
HINDU, HUSBAND'S PROTECTION, SATYANARAYANAPURAM,
VIJAYAWADA.

6.KONDESETTI KOTESWARA RAO, S/O KRISHNAYYA, HINDU,
PULABHAVI STREET, D.NO.11-19-10, IN THE HOUSE OF KONDEPU
HANUMANTHA RAO, VIJAYAWADA-1.

7.KONDISETTI BINUD NAGAKUMAR, C/O KOTESWARA RAO, HINDU,
PULABHAVI STREET, D.NO.11-19-10, IN THE HOUSE OF THE
KONDEPU HANUMANTHA RAO, VIJAYAWADA-1.

8. SIKHAKOLANU HIMA VENKATA NAGAR LAKSHMI, W/O VENKATA SUBBA RAO, HINDU, D.NO.22-16-1/6, HOUSE OF GURRAMSAMBASIVA RAO, DAVULURIVARI STREET, NEAR ASTALAKSHMI TEMPLE, KOTHAPET, TENALI, GUNTUR DIST.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein, the High Court may be pleased to-

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(CRPMP 2811 OF 2013)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings in pursuance of the orders passed in IA No.3009/2004 in OS No.370/1986 dt.14/11/2012 on the file of V Addl. Senior Civil Judge's Court, Vijayawada pending disposal of CRP and to pass.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. P VINAYAKA SWAMY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. PADMANABHAM A

2. DANTU VENKATA RAMANA SARMA

3. V V L N SARMA

The Court made the following ORDER:

1. This Civil Revision Petition, filed under section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (for short, 'C.P.C'), has been preferred by the petitioner/2nd respondent/2nd defendant, challenging the order dated 14.11.2012 passed in I.A.No.3009 of 1994 in O.S.No.370 of 1986 by the learned V Additional Senior Civil Judge at Vijayawada, (for short, 'the Trial Court'). By the said order, the Trial Court allowed the petitioner filed under Order 23 rule 3 of CPC and recorded the compromise between the parties.

2. On 22.10.2021, it was represented before this Court that one of the respondents had passed away, and time was sought to take necessary

steps to bring the legal representatives on record. Subsequently, on 10.04.2026, when the matter was listed, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner, and the matter was adjourned. Thereafter, on 24.04.2026, it was represented that the petitioner was bedridden and, therefore, instructions could not be secured, and further time was sought for the same. Even today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is no longer interested in prosecuting the matter before this Court.

3. In view of the above, the Civil Revision Petition is ***dismissed for non-prosecution***. There shall be no order as to costs.

Interim order, if any, granted earlier shall stand vacated, and all pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI

Date: 01.05.2026
SAK

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2124/2013

Date: 01.05.2026

SAK