



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3459]

**TUESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 12593/2011

Between:

1.M/S. CUREVIN PHARMA PVT. LTD.,, A REGD. PARTNERSHIP FIRM,
OFFICE AT 6-3-1099/1100/A, PLOT NO.9, BEHIND BABUKHAN
MILLENNIUM SOMAJIGUDA, HYDERABAD 500 082, REP. BY ITS
PARTNER CH. SANDHYA

...PETITIONER

AND

- 1.STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, INFRASTRUCTURE AND INVESTMENTS (PORTS)
DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, HYDERABAD.
- 2.THE DIRECTOR OF PORTS, ANDHRA PRADESH, P.B.NO.11,
KAKINADA, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT
- 3.THE PORT OFFICER KAKINADA PORT AREA, KAKINADA, EAST
GODAVARI DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in issuing the impugned order vide Ref.Notice No.PC/EW-L2/344/2008, dated 19.02.2011 as illegal, arbitrary and unjust and consequently direct the respondents to grant long lease to the petitioner over the site of an extent of Ac.4.57 cents in Port limits of Kakinada Port, Kakinada, E.G. District

IA NO: 1 OF 2011(WPMP 15349 OF 2011)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings pursuant to the Letter of the 3rd respondent bearing Letter No.PC/EW-L2/344/2008, dated 19.02.2011 including eviction of the petitioner from the land to an extent of Ac.4.57 cents in the port limits of Kakinada Port, Kakinada, E.G. District, pending disposal of the above writ petition

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.J RAMACHANDRA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.G SUBBA RAO

2.GP FOR TRANSPORT

The Court made the following ORDER:

Though the matter was adjourned on 02.09.2025, there was no representation for the petitioner. Hence, the matter was directed to be listed on 25.11.2025. Even on that day there was no representation on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioner. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed today under the caption "for dismissal".

Today when the matter is called, there is no representation for the petitioner. It appears that the learned counsel for the petitioner is not ready to prosecute the case.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed as non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

Dated: 02.12.2025
KAS

206

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 12593/2011

Dated: 02.12.2025
KAS