



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3207]

FRIDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND

WRIT PETITION NO: 10361/2015

Between:

1. JANGALA VEERA BHADRAIAH, S/O MARAIAH, AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS, R/O GANDLAPALLI VILLAGE VONTIMITTA MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, HYDERABAD.
2. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, VONTIMITTA POLICE STATION YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.
3. THE FOREST RANGE OFFICER, VONTIMITTA RANGE, KADAPA, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, to declare the action of the respondents as falsely

implicating the petitioner in FIR No. 94/2014 of Vontimitta Police Station, as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust and in violation of principles of natural justice and infringement of Article 21 of the Constitution of India and to pass.

IA NO: 1 OF 2015(WPMP 13683 OF 2015)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.2 not to take any coercive steps against the petitioner's arrest in connection with FIR No. 94/2014 in view of the Apex Court directions in Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar and another (2014) 8 SCC-273, pending disposal of the main Writ Petition, and pass.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit receive counter copies on record by granting leave to the Respondent in the above writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.DEVINENI RADHA RANI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME (AP)

2.GP FOR FORESTS (AP)

The Court made the following:

ORDER

Smt.D.Radharani, learned counsel for the petitioner on record represents that this matter has become infructuous.

2. In view of the subsequent developments happened during the pendency of this Writ Petition, the statement of the learned counsel is placed on record.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, in this case shall stand closed.

JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND

30.01.2026
LSP