



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3459]

FRIDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO: 834/2014

Between:

1. SRI KAMISSETTY SUNDARARAMAIAH CHETTY CHOULTRY,
NELLORE-2, REP. BY SMT. K.HYMAVATHAMMA, NAWABPET,
NELLORE-2.

...APPELLANT

AND

1. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER, Endowments Department, Nellore.

...RESPONDENT

Appeal Under Section _____ against orders Appeal against the Judgement (Award) dated 15-12-2012, in OA NO. 1213 of 2010 (Old O.A.No. 31/2002 of DC Guntur), on the file of the A.P. Endowments, Tribunal, Hyderabad.

IA NO: 2 OF 2013(CMAMP 22392 OF 2013)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased

IA NO: 1 OF 2014(CMAMP 1305 OF 2014)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to condone the delay of 371 days in re-presentation of the above CMA and pass such other order or orders as may be deemed fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and in the interests of Justice.

IA NO: 2 OF 2014(CMAMP 1332 OF 2014)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of operation of the impugned order of the AP Endowments Dept., at Hyderabad in OA.No. 1213 of 2010 (old OA.No. 31 of 2002 of DC., Guntur) thereby continuing the status -quo order granted by this Hon'ble Court in WP.No. 18831 of 2001 dt. 28/12/2001 till disposal of the above CMA

Counsel for the Appellant:

1.D RANGANATHA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent:

1.

The Court made the following JUDGMENT:

As there was no representation for the appellant on 25.07.2025, the matter was adjourned to 12.09.2025. On 12.09.2025 there was no representation for the appellant. Hence the matter was directed to be listed on 10.10.2025. On 10.10.2025 also there was no representation for the appellant. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed today under the caption "*for dismissal*"

Today when the matter is called, there is no representation for the appellant. It appears that the appellant is not interested to prosecute the case.

Hence, the Civil Miscellaneous Appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

Dated: 05.12.2025
RSD

32

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM**CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO: 834/2014**Dated: 05.12.2025
RSD