



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

WEDNESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 90/2026

Between:

1. BODDA SARASWATHI, D/O BODDA RAMALINGAM, AGE 31 YEAR, S
R/O 28-71-5, RH COLONY, GAJUWAKA, VISAKHAPATNAM,
PERMANENT ADDRESS, BARMANGUDA VILLAGE, MULIYAPUTTU
PANCHAYAT, HUKUMPETA MANDAL, KONTHILI PO, ASR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, Rep. by its Public
Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh At Amaravati.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

1. K PRIDHVI RAJU

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant:

1. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 90/2026****ORDER:**

The instant Criminal Petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C)/under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') has been filed by the Petitioner, seeking to modify/relax the condition of imposing of Rs.50,000/- towards bank guarantee for release of vehicle passed in Crl.M.P.No.1030 of 2025 (Crime No.259 of 2023 of IV Town Police Station) on the file of I Additional District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge for Trial of Offences under NDPS Act, Visakhapatnam.

2. Heard Sri K.Pridhvi Raju, learned Counsel for the Petitioner and Mrs.K.Priyanka Lakshmi, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor on behalf of the State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner who is the owner of the vehicle i.e., TVS JUPITER bearing No.AP 39 JV 0885 has filed Crl.M.P.No.1030 of 2025 before the I Additional District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge for Trial of Offences under NDPS Act, Visakhapatnam seeking interim custody of the vehicle which was allowed on a condition to furnish the Bank guarantee for Rs.50,000/-. The petitioner could not afford to furnish the same due to her financial constraints. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that modifying the said condition is necessary in this matter.

4. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor would submit that the Court may pass appropriate orders.

5. Considering the submissions made, as can be seen from the order passed by the learned Special Judge, the petitioner has been directed to furnish a bank guarantee for Rs.50,000/- for which, the petitioner could not afford. In that view of the matter, it is apposite to dispose of the petition by modifying the condition to the effect that 'the petitioner shall furnish bank guarantee for Rs.40,000/-' instead of Rs.50,000/-, as was ordered earlier and the rest of the conditions imposed by the learned Special Judge shall remain unaltered.

6. With the above said observations, this Criminal Petition is disposed of.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 07.01.2026.
UPS

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 90/2026

Dated.07.01.2026

UPS