



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

FRIDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 5805/2013

Between:

1.P. ARJUNA RAO S/O MAHANKALI, OCC: DRIVER O/O EXECUTIVE
ENGINEER, APWIDC, KRISHNA AT MACHILIPATNAM.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. EDUCATION WELFARE INFRASTRUCTURE, DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION (AN ENTERPRISE OF GOVERNMENT OF A.P.) REP.
BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR, 4TH FLOOR, RAJIV VIDYA MISSION
BUILDING SCERT COMPOUND, HYDERABAD.

2. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, EDUCATION & WELFARE
INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION (AN
ENTERPRISE OF GOVERNMENT OF A.P.) KRISHNA DISTRICT, AT
MACHILIPATNAM.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue writ or direction preferably writ of mandamus declaring the impugned memo NO. JA/APEWIDC/EE-MTM-/Driver/2011, dt. 17-12-2011 issued by the respondent No.2 rejecting the claim of the petitioner to treat the period of suspension i.e. 1-9-2006 to 21-2-2010 as on duty as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to record, and violative of principles of natural justice and consequently set aside the same and further direct the respondents to treat the period of suspension as on duty and grant all benefits

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 7220 OF 2013)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to re-consider the claim of the petitioner to treat the period of suspension of the petitioner from 1-9-2006 to 21-2-2010 as on duty and also grant all benefits pending the disposal of writ petition in the interest of justice.

IA NO: 4 OF 2013(WPMP 38610 OF 2013)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent to release the increments and extend the benefit of 2010 PRC pending disposal of the W.P., in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.KOWTURU PAVAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1..

2.G V S KISHORE KUMAR

The Court made the following order:

The above writ petition was filed impugning the memo issued by the 2nd respondent rejecting the claim of the petitioner to treat the period of suspension i.e., from 01.09.2006 to 21.02.2010, as on duty, as illegal and arbitrary.

2. The writ petition was admitted on 27.02.2013. Thereafter, it was listed on 14.11.2025. Since there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner, the writ petition was adjourned to 21.11.2025 to list under the caption "for dismissal". On 21.11.2025, as there was no representation, the writ petition was adjourned to 28.11.2025. Even on 28.11.2025, there was no representation for the petitioner. To give one more opportunity, the matter was directed to be listed today.

3. Even today, there is no representation for the petitioner. It seems the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the writ petition.

4. Hence, this Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J

Dated: 05.12.2025

SNI

339

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 5805/2013

Dated: 05.12.2025
SNI