

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.SATYANARAYANA MURTHY**

**W.P. No.35374 of 2018**

**O R D E R:-**

This writ petition is filed for the following substantive relief:

“.....to issue a writ of mandamus declaring the action of respondents 2 to 5 in not considering the representation of the petitioner dated 25.06.2018 by taking legal action against the 6<sup>th</sup> respondent as he has been working as a Village Revenue Assistant of Saipuram Village, Vuyyuru Mandal, Krishna District on misrepresentation by producing forged and bogus birth certificate and Education Certification to getting his job, as illegal and arbitrary and in violation of principles of natural justice as well as violation of CCA Rules and Regulations, 1991 and consequently direct respondents 2 to 5 to consider the petitioner's representation dated 25.06.2018.”

Though Sri K.J.V.N.Pundareekakshudu, learned counsel for the petitioner, made several allegations against the respondents during the course of hearing, he requested this Court, without touching the merits of the case, to issue a direction to the respondents to dispose of the representation submitted by the petitioner on 25.06.2018.

The learned Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for respondents 1 to 5 readily agreed to dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 25.06.2018, if any, pending with the respondent authorities.

In view of the submission of the learned Government Pleader for Revenue, I need not decide the truth or otherwise of the allegations made in the petition. This Court is conscious that no such direction can be issued in view of the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of **The Government of India v. P.Venkatesh**<sup>1</sup>, wherein the Apex Court held that such orders may make for a quick or easy disposal of cases in overburdened adjudicatory institutions. But, they do no service to the cause of justice. As the learned counsel for the petitioner himself

---

<sup>1</sup> 2019 (8) SCALE 544

requested to issue a direction to dispose of the representation dated 25.06.2018 submitted by the petitioner, I find no other alternative except to issue such direction.

In the result, the writ petition is disposed of directing respondents 2 to 5 to dispose of the representation submitted by the petitioner on 25.06.2018, in accordance with law, within a period of one month from today. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any pending, shall stand disposed of as infructuous.

---

M. SATYANARAYANA MURTHY, J

18.02.2021

bcj