



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3459]

**TUESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 22794/2011

Between:

1. KILANA APPARAO, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT & 20 OTHERS,
S/O.LATE NARAYANA CULTIVATION R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE
HERAMANDALAM MANDAL SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
2. PATHIVADA GOVINDA RAO, S/O.LATE PRAKASARAO
CULTIVATION R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM
MANDAL SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
3. SMT.PATHIVADA NARAYANAMMA, W/O.GOVINDARAO
CULTIVATION R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM
MANDAL SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
4. BALAGA VENKATARAMANA, S/O.LATE DALAPPANNA
CULTIVATION R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM
MANDAL SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
5. KILLANA SRINIVASARAO, S/O.LATE LACHUMAYYA CULTIVATION
R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM MANDAL
SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
6. KILLANA SUBHAKIRTHI, S/O.LATE LACHUMAYYA CULTIVATION
R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM MANDAL
SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
7. SMT.BANTUPALLI CHINNAMMA, W/O.LATE ENDU CULTIVATION
R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM MANDAL
SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
8. PATHIVADA APPALANAIDU, S/O.LATE PRAKASARAO

CULTIVATION R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM
MANDAL SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

9. SMT.PATHIVADA INDIRA, W/O.APPALA NAIDU CULTIVATION
R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM MANDAL
SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
- 10.PATHIVADA SATEESH, S/O.APPALA NAIDU CULTIVATION
R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM MANDAL
SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
- 11.CHIMMARI VENKATA RAMANA, S/O.LATE MOHANARAO
CULTIVATION R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM
MANDAL SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
- 12.CHIMMARI GOVINDARAO, S/O.LATE SUBBAIAH CULTIVATION
R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM MANDAL
SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
- 13.BARRI GOVINDARAO, S/O.NARAYANARAO CULTIVATION
R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM MANDAL
SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
- 14.BARRI NARAYANA RAO, S/O.LATE APPALA NARASIMHULU
CULTIVATION R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM
MANDAL SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
- 15.BARRI RAJYALAKSHMI, D/O.NARAYANARAO CULTIVATION
R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM MANDAL
SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
- 16.SMT.BARRI VAZRALAMMA, W/O.NARAYANARAO CULTIVATION
R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM MANDAL
SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
- 17.SOMIREDDY DEENABANDHU NAIDU, S/O.LATE DHARMARAO
CULTIVATION R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM
MANDAL SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
- 18.PONDURU APPARAO, S/O.GURAYYA CULTIVATION
R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM MANDAL
SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
- 19.GULU VINDALA GANESH, S/O.LATE NAGABHUSHAN

CULTIVATION R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM
MANDAL SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

20.SMT.GULUVINDALA DRAKASHAYAMI, W/O.LATE NAGABHUSHAN
CULTIVATION R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM
MANDAL SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

21.PADALA LAXMI NARAYANA, S/O.PADALA APPALA SWAMI
CULTIVATION R/O.PEDASANKILI VILLAGE HERAMANDALAM
MANDAL SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1.SRI VISAKHA GRAMEENA BNAK KORADA BRANCH 2 OTHERS,
KORADA BRANCH,REP.BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER, SRIKAKULAM
DISTRICT

2.THE GOVT OF INDIA REP BY ITS SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF
FINANCE, NEW DELHI

3.THE RESERVE BANK OF INDIA REP BY ITS GOVERNOR, MUMBAI

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in deducting the amounts from the agricultural loan accounts of the petitioner which was remitted by way of cheques by the Land Acquisition officer, is illegal, arbitrary, and against the principles of natural justice consequently directing the 1st respondent to remit back the deducted amounts along with the interest from the date of deduction of amounts to the date of actual realization and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2011(WPMP 27863 OF 2011)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 1st respondent to remit back the principal amounts including deducted amounts which were deducted from the accounts of the petitioners pending disposal of main writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.RAJA GOPALA RAO HANUMANTHU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.DEPUTY SOLICITOR GENERAL OF INDIA

2.V UMA DEVI

The Court made the following ORDER:

Though the matter was adjourned on 09.09.2025, there was no representation for the petitioners. Hence, the matter was directed to be listed on 25.11.2025. Even on that day there was no representation on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioners. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed today under the caption “for dismissal”.

Today when the matter is called, there is no representation for the petitioners. It appears that the learned counsel for the petitioners is not interested to prosecute the case.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed as non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

Dated: 02.12.2025
KAS

219

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 22794/2011

Dated: 02.12.2025
KAS