



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

MONDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 36338/2025

Between:

1.MARADA RAJESWARI, W/O. VENKATA RAO, AGED ABOUT 41
YEARS, OCC HOUSEWIFE R/O. D. NO.31-37-41,
KURMANNAPALEM VILLAGE, GAJUWAKA MANDAL,
VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF AP, REP. BY ITS THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, AMARAVATHI, GUNTURU
DISTRICT- 522237

2.THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, VISAKHAPATNAM,
VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT-530002

3.THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR, S.H.O, DUWADA POLICE STATION,
GAJUWAKA MANDAL, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT-530046.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.REDDY VENKATA RAMANA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**WRIT PETITION NO: 36338/2025****ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer:

“...to issue a WRIT OF MANDAMUS directing the inaction of the respondents No.2 to 3 on petitioner representation dt.05-12-2025 and 11-12-2025 as highly illegal and arbitrary and also violation of principal of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents to forthwith provide police protection to the house property of the petitioner which is an ground floor in RCC Dhaba House an extent of 60 square yards in Survey Number 89/1 vide documents No. 6151/2024 dated 25-07-2024 situated at ground floor RCC Daba House Kurmannapalem village Resalamma Colony D.No. 31-37-41 Gajuwaka Mandal Visakhapatnam District....”

2. Heard Sri Reddy Venkata Ramana, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P.Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home for the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent-police are not taking any action on the petitioner's representations for providing police protection to house property for eviction of the petitioner's sister in law.

4. Having heard the submissions and a fair look at the material placed on record, it is apposite to dispose of the present petition, giving liberty to the petitioner to work out her remedies before the before the Civil Court seeking vacation of the sister in law of the petitioner in the scheduled property since, it

is represented that despite execution of the registered Sale Deed in favour of the petitioner, she did not choose to vacate the property.

5. With the above said observation, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 05.01.2026.
UPS

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 36338/2025

Dated.05.01.2026

UPS