



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

**MONDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 36664/2025

Between:

1. NALLAPANENI SHILPA CHOWDARY, D/O SAMBA SIVA RAO, AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS, OCC HOUSEWIFE, R/O FLAT NO. 106, KEERTHI TOWERS, 6TH LINE, CHANDRAMOULI NAGAR, GUNTUR, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
3. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, NALLAPADU POLICE STATION, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. SYED KHADER MASTAN

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**WRIT PETITION NO: 36664/2025****ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer:

“...to issue a writ or writs or order or orders one more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the of the 2nd Respondent in disposing of the Petitioners representation dated 06/09/2025 as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the 2nd Respondent to forthwith dispose of Petitioners representation dated 06/09/2025....”

2. Heard Sri Syed Khader Mastan, learned counsel for the petitioner through V.C., and Sri P.Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home for the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent-police are not taking any action on the representation made by the petitioner dated 06.09.2025.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions, would further submit that the respondent-police have not registered any crime on the representation of the petitioner since the subject matter is purely civil in nature.

5. In reply, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the contents of the representation would disclose cognizable offence.

6. Considering the submissions made, this Writ Petition is disposed of. However, it is left open to the petitioner to work out her remedies before the appropriate forum according to law. No order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 05.01.2026.
UPS

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 36664/2025

Dated.05.01.2026

UPS