



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 35224/2025

Between:

1. PULUPU SRINU,, S/O. SURYANARAYANA, AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 6- 41, PULU SRINU GARI VEEDHI, RELANGI, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
2. PULUPU AKKAMAMBA,, W/O. SRINU, AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS, RIO. D.NO. 6- 41, PULU SRINU GARI VEEDHI, RELANGI, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
3. PULUPU ANIL KUMAR,, S/O. SRINU, AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 6-41, APC KONDALA RAO STREET, RELANGI, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
4. PULUPU LAVANYA, , W/O. ANIL KUMAR, AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 6- 41, APC KONDALA RAO STREET, RELANGI, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY SPL. CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPT. SECRETARIAT BUILDING, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI.
2. THE DIRECTORATE OF TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING GOVT OF A P, , REP., BY ITS DIRECTOR MGM CAPITAL GROUND FLOOR BESIDE LITTLE VILLAGE RESTAURENT, CHINNAKAKANI, MANGALAGIRI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
3. THE TANUKU MUNICIPALITY, REP. BY ITS COMMISSIONER, 4, NARENDRANATH ST, OLD TOWN, TIMMARAJUPURAM, TANUKU, ANDHRA PRADESH.

4.THE VIJAYAWADA MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, REP. BY ITS COMMISSIONER,JAWAHARLAL NEHRU BUILDING, CANAL ROAD, ONE TOWN, VII AYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to may be pleased to issue appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in. issuing the Memo.1768902/H1/2024 DT 20-08-2024 1081 /00034/TDR/KP/2022/Revision 1081/ 00035/TDR/KP/ 2022/ Revision 1081/ 00040/TDR/ KP/2022/ Revision 1081 /00.047/TDR/KP/2022/Revision 1081 / 00045/TDR/ KP/2022/ Revision 1081/ 00046/TDR/ KP/ 2022/Revision1 dt. 29-10-2024, 1 dt. 29-10-2024, 1 dt. 29-10-2024, 1 dt. 29-10-2024, 1 dt. 29-10-2024, 1 dt. 29-10-2024, 1081/00033/TDR/KP/2022/Revision 1 dt. 29-10-2024, 1081 / 00039 / TDR/ KP/ 2022 / Revision 1 dt. 29-10-2024, 1081/00036/TDR/KP/2022/Revision 1 dt. 29-10-2024, 108 1/00044/TDR/KP/2022/ Revision 1 dt. 29-10-2024 as arbitrary, illegal, without jurisdiction, violate of Articles 14 and 300A of the Constitution of India and well-settled principles of natural justice and Consequently set aside the Memo vide 1768902/H1/2024 DT 20-08- 2024 and the TDRS vide1081/00043/TDR/KP/2022/Revision 1 dt. 29- 10-2024 and pass such

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to allow the benefit of TDR awarded to the in favour of the petitioner by suspending the operation of the Memo. 1768902/H1/2024 DT; 20-08-2024 pending the above Writ petition and to pass such o

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.VASAVI RATNA KUMARI DANDAMUDI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMN URBAN DEV

2. Sireesha Rani Vallabhaneni, Standing Counsel For Municipalities

3.S.V.S.S.SIVARAM SC For VMC

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH. N

WRIT PETITION No.35224 OF 2025

ORDER :

1. The petitioner is aggrieved by the action of respondents in issuing the Memo.1768902/H1/2024, dated 20.08.2024, 1081/00034/TDR/KP/2022/Revision1, 1081/ 00035/TDR/KP/2022/ Revision1,1081/00040/TDR/KP/2022/Revision1,1081/0047/TDR/KP/ 2022/Revision1,1081/00045/TDR/KP/2022,Revision1, 1081/ 00046/ TDR/ KP/ 2022/ Revision1, dt.29.10.2024,1081/ 00033/ TDR/ KP/2022/Revision 1, 1081 / 00039 / TDR/ KP/ 2022/Revision1, 1081/00036/TDR/KP/2022/Revision1, 1081/00044/TDR/KP/2022/ Revision 1, dt. 29-10-2024 is illegal, arbitrary, violate of principles of natural justice and consequently set aside the Memo videMemo No.1768902/H1/2024, Dated 20.08.2024 and the TDRS vide1081/00043/TDR/KP/2022/Revision 1 dt. 29.10.2024.
2. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in this writ petition is squarely covered by the order dated 14.10.2025 passed by this Court in W.P.No.30398 of 2024 and requested to pass a similar order in this writ petition also.
3. Learned Standing Counsel for the 3rd respondent/Municipality conceded the same.
4. In view of the same, for the reasons alike in the aforesaid order, this writ petition is also disposed of directing the 3rd respondent/Tanuku

Municipality to calculate the TDR Bond(s) eligibility of the petitioner for the land gifted by the petitioner and issue the TDR Bond(s) to the petitioner, within a period of eight (08) weeks from the date of receipt of this order. There shall be no order as to costs

5. Registry is directed to annex a copy of the order in W.P.No.30398 of 2024 to the order in the present writ petition.
6. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed. No costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Date:08.01.2026
NKA

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

117

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION No.35224 of 2025

Dated 08.01.2026

NKA