



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

THURSDAY, THE 13th DAY OF AUGUST 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 3856 OF 2025

Between:

1. VADA SUDHA, W/o. Veera Babu, Aged about 38 years, R/o. D.No. 13-98, Nallakunta Village Kukunoor Mandal, West Godavari District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. SRI MUKESH KUMAR MEENA, S/o. Not Known, Age not known, Occ The Principal Secretary Revenue (L.A) Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Guntur District.

2. Sri G Sai Prasad, . S/o. Not Known, Age not known, OccThe Principal Secretary Irrigation Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Guntur District 2.

3. Smt K Vetri Selvi, S/o. Not Known, Age not known, Occ The District Collector, Eluru, West Godavari District. 3.

4. Sri Ramulu Naik, S/o. Not Known, Age not known, Occ The Sub-Collector, Kukunoor, West Godavari District. 4.

5. S Ram Sundar Reddy, S/o. Not Known, Age not known, Occ The Commissioner, R R Scheme, OPP- Andhra Hospitals, GoUapudi Krishna District.

6. Sri V Abhishek, Occ The Special Officer (SO)-Cum-ProJect Administrator, Rehabilitation Re-settlement, Polavaram National Project, ITDA, K.R.Puram. Eluru District.

7. Sri B Uday, S/o. Not Known, Age not known, Occ The Tahsildar, Velerupadu, Eluru District.

...Respondents

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to direct the notices to the respondents herein and punish the respondents under section 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for deliberate, intentional and willful violation of the orders of this Honble Court dated 29.04.2025 made in W.P.No.9505 of 2025 and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner: SOMISETTY GANESH BABU

Counsel for the Respondents: K M KRISHNA REDDY

The Court made the following order:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA**CONTEMPT CASE NO: 3856 OF 2025****ORDER:**

This contempt case is filed against the respondents for willful disobedience of the orders dated 29.04.2025 passed by this Court in W.P.No.9505 of 2025.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this Court passed an order dated 29.04.2025 in W.P.No.9505 of 2025, directing the respondents to consider the representation dated 10.02.2025 submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders as expeditiously as possible. Even though this Court specifically directed the respondents to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner, with reference to the observations made in ***Kotaru Sarath Kumar v. the State of Andhra Pradesh***¹, the respondents neither passed any speaking order nor replied to the petitioner. Hence, the contempt case.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents filed counter affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.4, wherein, it is stated that pending contempt case, the respondents have passed a speaking order dated 06.12.2025 by holding that the petitioner herein is not entitled for Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R&R) benefits under the Polavaram Irrigation Project. Hence, in view of passing of the speaking order, the orders

¹ W.P.Nos.16172 & 16379 of 2019

of this Court are complied with and no further orders necessary and requested to close the contempt case.

5. Heard the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents and on perusal of the material placed on record, more particularly, the counter affidavit filed by the respondent No.4, it appears that pending contempt case, the respondents have passed a speaking order dated 06.12.2025 holding that the petitioner is not entitled for Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R&R) benefits as prayed for. In view passing of the said rejection proceedings, the orders of this court are duly complied with. Hence, the petitioner has not made out any contemptuous action on part of the respondents and no further orders are necessary and the present contempt case is liable to be closed.

6. Accordingly, the Contempt Case is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in the contempt case shall stand closed.

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

13.08.2026
BSP

41

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

(closed)

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 3856 OF 2025

13.08.2026

BSP