

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
MONDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 12668 OF 2025



Between:

M Chandrasekhar, S/o. Subbaramaiah, aged 31 years, Occ: Pvt Service,
N/o. 1-22, Main Road, Nujellapalli, Prakasam District presently residing in
Near Satyam Talkies, Ameerpet, Hyderabad.

Petitioner/A7

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of
Amaravathi, through SHO, J.Panguluru P.S.

Respondent ✓

Petition under Sections 482 of BNSS (old 438 of Cr.P.C), praying that in
the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal
Petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent/State to
enlarge the Petitioner/ Accused on bail in the event of his arrest pursuant to
Crime in FIR No. 12/25 on the file of the SHO, J.Panguluru Police Station,
Bapatla District.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the
grounds filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri
SRINIVASULU KURRA, Advocate for the Petitioner and of PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR for the Respondent and the Court made the following;

ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned
Assistant Public Prosecutor.

The petitioner is neither a member of the society nor an employee of the Primary Agricultural Cooperative Credit Society Ltd., Nuzellapalli. The District Cooperative Officer, Bapatla, lodged a report with the Station House Officer, Renangivaram Police Station, Janakavaram Pangaulur Mandal, alleging that Accused No.1 paid an amount of ₹15,00,000/- to the petitioner/Accused No.7. The petitioner/Accused No.7 is the son of Accused Nos.5 and 6.

The learned Assistant Public Prosecutor submits that the petitioner and his parents obtained a loan. However, Sri Srinivasulu Kurra, learned counsel for the petitioner, disputes the said contention and submits that no loan was granted to the petitioner. Accused Nos.1 to 6 and 8 have already been enlarged on bail by the learned Trial Court. It is needless to mention that one of the employees of the society filed Crl.P. No.11969 of 2025, however, the same was dismissed as withdrawn on 03.12.2025. The learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has been residing in Hyderabad for the past seven years and has not visited the village.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, interim anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner/Accused No.7, and he shall not be arrested till the next date of hearing.

List after four (04) weeks.

In the meanwhile, the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor may produce the relevant records to show whether the petitioner was granted a loan or availed of a loan.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-SK MD RAFI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Station House Officer, J.Panguluru Police Station, Bapatla District
2. One CC to SRI. SRINIVASULU KURRA Advocate [OPUC]

3. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court of AP [OUT]
4. One spare copy

Kj

HIGH COURT

DR.YLR,J

DATED:15/12/2025

LIST AFTER FOUR (04) WEEKS

ORDER

CRLP.No.12668 of 2025



INTERIM ANTICIPATORY BAIL