



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

THURSDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N
WRIT PETITION NO: 24100/2013**

Between:

- 1.G. SUDHARANI,, W/O LOKANATHA REDDY , HINDU, AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS, WORKING AS ACCOUNTANT CUM CLERK, K.G.B.V. SCHOOL, YERRAVARIPALEM, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.
- 2.D. REDDERMNA,, W/O V.RAMESH, HINDU, AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, WORKING AS A.N.M, K.G.B.V. SCHOOL, YERRAVARIPALEM, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.
- 3.G. AMARAVATHI,, W/O D. RAVI, HINDU, AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS, ATTENDER, K.G.B.V. SCHOOL, YERRAVARIPALEM, CHITTOOR DISTRICT
- 4.G. BALAMANI,, D/O VENKATARAMAIAH, HINDU, AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS, WORKING AS NIGHT WATCHMAN, K.G.B.V. SCHOOL, YERRAVARIPALEM, CHITTOOR DISTRICT
- 5.T. SULOCHANA,, W/O R. CHENNAKESAVULU, HINDU, 38 YEARS, K.G.B.V. SCHOOL, WORKING AS STAFF NURSE, K.V. PALLE, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.
- 6.Y. ANNAMANI,, W/O SIDDAIAH, HINDU, AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS, WORKING AS NIGHT WATCHMAN, K.G.B.V. SCHOOL, K.V. PALLE, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

- 1.RAJIV VIDYA MISSION SARVA SHIKSHA ABHIYAN, OPP. L.B. STADIUM, HYDERABAD, REP. BY STATE PROJECT DIRECTOR AND VICE-CHAIR PERSON.
- 2.A P KASTURBA GANDHI BALIKA VIDYALAYA SOCIETY, RAJIV VIDYA MISSION (SARVA SHIKSHA ABIYAN), OPP. L.B. STADIUM HYDERABAD REP. BY ITS SECRETARY.
- 3.A P RESIDENTIAL EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS SOCIETY, 3RD FLOOR, GAGAN VIHAR, NAMPALLY, HYDERABAD, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY.
- 4.THE PROJECT OFFICER, KGBV AND MEMBER AND CONVENER RVM (SSA), CHITTOOR, CHITTOOR DISTRICT
- 5.GOV'T OF ANDHRA PRADESH REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, DEPT. OF SCHOOL EDUCATION (SSA), SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, HYDERABAD.
- 6.THE UNION OF INDIA MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, DEPT. OF SCHOOL EDUCATION AND LITERACY,

REP. BY ITS SECRETARY, NEW DELHI.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, more particularly a Writ of Mandamus, to declare the action of the 1st respondent in issuing the Circular Rc.No.548/Budget1/APKGBV/Training/2013-14 dated 20.06.2013 as arbitrary, illegal, violative of Article 14 and 16 of Constitution of India and violation of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondent Nos. 1 to 6 to continue the petitioners in their respective posts now working

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WVMP 3921 OF 2013)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to Vacate the dated 19-08-2013 in WPMP No. 29637/2013 WP No. 24100/2013 and dismiss the writ petition in the interest of justice

IA NO: 2 OF 2013(WPMP 29637 OF 2013)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the Circular Rc.No.548/Budget1/APKGBV/Training/2013-14 dated 20.06.2013 issued by the 1st respondent, pending disposal of the above writ petition and pass such other order or orders as deem fit and just in the interest of justice.

IA NO: 5 OF 2013(WPMP 132387 OF 2013)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.MAHADEVA KANTHRIGALA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.REVANURU SUDHA RANI (SC FOR SAMAGRA SHIKSHA)
2.VAKA KRANTHI SRI

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N
WRIT PETITION No.24100 of 2013

ORDER :

1. The petitioners are challenging the action of the 1st respondent in issuing circular dated 20.06.2013, whereby the respondents proposed to fill up the Non-Teaching Staff post in the 2nd respondent.
2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were working on outsourcing basis and sought consideration of their candidature instead of proceeding with a fresh recruitment through outsourcing agencies, contending that an outsourcing employee cannot be replaced by another outsourcing employee without any valid reason. This Court granted interim suspension on 19.08.2013.
3. The learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.2 submits that the petitioners are still continuing in service in terms of the interim directions granted by this Court.
4. Recording the same, the writ petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

Dated 05.02.2026
KGM

80

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION No.24100 of 2013
Dated 05.02.2026

KGM