



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

THURSDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

**PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K SREENIVASA REDDY**

WRIT PETITION NO: 33808 OF 2025

Between:

1. BUDDALA RAMA DEVI, D/o Ganga Raju, Aged 31 years, ST Koya by Caste, R/o. East Rekulakunta Village, Buttaigudem Mandal, Eluru District.
2. Buddula Sandhya, D/o Ganga Raju, Aged 27 years, ST Koya by Caste, R/o. East Rekulakunta Village, Buttaigudem Mandal, West Godavari District.

...Petitioners

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Tribal Welfare Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Agent to Government / District Collector, Eluru District.
3. The Special Agent / Revenue Divisional Officer, Jangareddigudem, Eluru District.
4. The Tahsildar, Buttaigudem Mandal, Eluru District.
5. The S H O Buttaigudem PS, Buttaigudem, Eluru District.
6. Chodem Durgamma, W/o. Ganaraju, Aged about 68 years. R/o. East Rekulakunta Village, Buttaigudem Mandal, West Godavari District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue order direction more

particularly one in the nature of Writ of MANDAMUS declare the action in not providing protection to the Petitioners lands covered in R.S.No.1457 of Ac 7.96 cts, R.S.No.1459 of Ac 3.55 cts, R.S.No.1491/1 of Ac 8.76 cts situated at East Rekulakunta Village, Buttaigudem Mandal, West Godavari District and consequentially direct the respondents to provide protection to the Petitioners land covered in R.S.No.1457 of Ac 7.96 cts, R.S.No.1459 of Ac 3.55 cts, R.S.No.1491/1 of Ac 8.76 cts situated at East Rekulakunta Village, Buttaigudem Mandal, West Godavari District.

Counsel for the Petitioners: P R K AMERANDRA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SOCIAL WELFARE

The Court made the following ORDER:

The present Writ Petition has been filed questioning the action of the respondents in not providing protection to the petitioners lands covered in RS No.1457, admeasuring Ac.7.96 cents, RS No.1459, admeasuring Ac.3.55 cents and RS No.1491/1, admeasuring Ac.8.76 cents, situated at East Rekulakunta village, Buttaigudem Mandal, West Godavari district.

2. Case of the petitioners is that their forefathers acquired the lands covered in RS No.1457, admeasuring Ac.7.96 cents; RS No.1459, admeasuring Ac.3.55 cents and RS No.1491/1, admeasuring Ac.8.76 cents, along with other lands, situated at East Rekulakunta village, Buttaigudem Mandal, West Godavari district. After the death of their father, petitioners are cultivating the subject lands and their names were incorporated in the revenue records and pattadar passbooks and title deeds were also issued in their favour. While so, 6th respondent herein filed OS No.5 of 2014 on the file of 3rd respondent – Assistant Agent to Government/District Collector, Eluru, for declaration and injunction in respect of the said lands and, vide order dated 25.04.2015, the said suit was dismissed. Subsequently, when 6th respondent was interfering with their possession over the subject matter of lands, they filed an application before 3rd respondent seeking police protection against 6th respondent and when no action had been taken thereon, 1st petitioner herein filed WP No.7341 of 2020 and this Court, by an order dated 31.03.2021, disposed of the said Writ Petition with a direction to

3rd respondent to consider and pass orders on the representation of 1st petitioner dated 07.12.2020, within two weeks from the date of receipt of the order. Pursuant to the said direction, the respondents provided protection against 6th respondent. Against the said order, 6th respondent preferred Writ Appeal No.659 of 2022, however the same was dismissed for non-prosecution on 01.09.2022. Grievance of the petitioners is that again when 6th respondent and her family members are highhandedly entering into the subject land and causing obstruction for agricultural operations, they filed representations dated 12.11.2025 and 11.11.2025 before respondent Nos.4 and 5 respectively seeking to provide necessary protection for carrying out agricultural operations in the subject lands. But, so far no action has been taken by the respondent authorities. Hence, they filed the present Writ Petition.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Assistant Government Pleaders for Social Welfare and Home.

4. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, since the representations of the petitioners dated 12.11.2025 and 11.11.2025 are pending before respondent Nos.4 and 5, without going into the merits of the case, respondent Nos.4 and 5 are directed to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, on the representations made by the petitioners by giving protection to them, within a period of two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With the above direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, the miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

Date:04.12.2025
Nsr

K. SREENIVASA REDDY, J.

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K. SREENIVASA REDDY

Writ Petition No.33808 of 2025

Date:04.12.2025

Nsr