



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

**FRIDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE
PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA
WRIT PETITION NO: 33817/2025**

Between:

1. GOWROJU SATYABRAHMAM, S/O. VEERARAJU, AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, OCC BLACKSMITH, R/O. D.NO. 3-65, BRAMAM GARI VEEDI, KIRLAMPUDI MANDALAM, GONEDA, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
2. GOWROJU SRINIVAS,, S/O. SATYABRAHMAM, AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, OCC BLACKSMITH, R/O. D.NO. 3-65, BRAMAM GARI VEEDI KIRLAMPUDI MANDALAM, GONEDA. EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY (HOME DEPARTMENT) SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, KAKINADA.
3. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, KAKINADA.
4. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, JAGGAMPETA CIRCLE, KIRLAMPUDI POLICE STATION, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
5. THE SUBINSPECTOR OF POLICE, KIRLAMPUDI POLICE STATION, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ or order one in nature of Writ of Mandamus by declaring the action of the Respondent No. 2 to 5 are not providing Police Protection to the petitioners even though several representations dated 13.10.2025, 26.08.2025, 08.07.2025, 22.03.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.12.2024, 24.02.2024, 12.02.2024, 02.02.2024, 26.12.2023, and 04.11.2023 with regard to encroachments of the petitioners land in Survey No. 100 - 1 to an extent of Ac 0.20 cents, in situated at Goneda Village, Kiriampudi Mandal, East Godavari District as illegal arbitrary and against the principles of Natural Justice and violation of Article 14, 21, 300-A of the

Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent No. 2 to 5 to provide the police Protection to the petitioners by considering petitioners representations in the subject land situated at Sy No. 100 -1 to an extent of Ac 0.20 cents situated at Gonedu Village, Kirlampudi Mandal, East Godavari District, and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

- 1.NAGA RAJU KOLLATI
- 2.GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.GP FOR HOME
- 2.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has been filed, by the Petitioners for the following relief:

" ... to issue a Writ or order one in nature of Writ of Mandamus by declaring the action of the Respondents 2 to 5 are not providing Police Protection to the petitioners even though several representations dated 13.10.2025, 26.08.2025, 08.07.2025, 22.03.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.12.2024, 24.02.2024, 12.02.2024, 02.02.2024, 26.12.2023, and 04.11.2023 with regard to encroachments of the petitioners land in Survey No.100 - 1 to an extent of Ac 0.20 cents, in situated at Gonedu Village, Kirilampudi Mandal, East Godavari District as illegal arbitrary and against the principles of Natural Justice and violation of Articles 14, 21, 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents 2 to 5 to provide the police Protection to the petitioners by considering petitioners representations in the subject land situated at Sy No. 100 -1 to an extent of Ac 0.20 cents situated at Gonedu Village, Kirilampudi Mandal, East Godavari District, and to pass ..."

Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home representing for respondent State. Perused the material on record.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader placed on record written instructions and would submit that basing on the complaint of the Petitioners, two crimes were registered by Kirilampudi Police Station. On 13.10.2025, Petitioner lodged a petition and basing on the contents of the Petition, respondent police are calling both parties for preliminary enquiry. After due enquiry, it came to light that there is a civil dispute between the parties and hence, respondent police did not interfere in the civil disputes and requested to dispose of the Writ Petition by passing appropriate orders.

Learned counsel for Petitioners would submit that recording the said submission, this Writ Petition may be disposed of.

Considering the submissions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. However, the Petitioners are at liberty to work out their remedy, before appropriate forum, according to law.

Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

Pending applications, if any, shall stand closed.

DR.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

*Mjl/**
05.12.2025

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Writ Petition No. 33817 of 2025

05.12.2025

*Mjl /**