



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

THURSDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 33697/2025

Between:

1.MRS. MUSAL REDDY GARI SUBHASHINI,, AGED 56 YEARS, W/O.
M.RAMA SURESH. R/O.H.NO.29-178-30-30, SBI COLONY,
NANDYAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HOME, SECRETARIAT
BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI. GUNTUR DISTRICT, AMARAVATHI.

2.THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, NANDYAL, NANDYAL
DISTRICT.

3.THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, NANDYAL, NANDYAL
DISTRICT.

4.THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, BETHAMCHERLA POLICE
STATION, NANDYAL DISTRICT.

5.B RAJAIAH, S/O. NOT KNOWN, AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, R/O.
BETHAMCHERLA VILLAGE, BETHAMCHERLA MANDAL, NANDYAL
DISTRICT.

6.EDIGA VENKATA MOHAN GOUD, AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS S/O.
VENKATA SWAMY, R/O. SANJEEVANAGAR,
BETHAMCHERLA,NANDYAL DISTRICT.

7.VENKATESHWARA GOUD, S/O. VENKATA SWAMY, AGED ABOUT

50 YEARS, R/O. SANJEEVANAGAR, BETHAMEHERLA, NANDYAL DISTRICT.

8.SUDARAIHAH, S/O. NOT KNOWN, AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS, R/O. BETHAMEHERLA VILLAGE, BETHAMEHERLA MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT.

9.HANUMANTHU, S/O. NOT KNOWN AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS, R/O. BETHAMEHERLA VILLAGE, BETHAMEHERLA MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to Issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly a Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the Respondents 2 to 4 in registering a Criminal case against Respondents No. 5 to 9 and providing protection to the Petitioner as illegal, arbitrary, and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India a) Direct the Respondents 2 to 4 to provide police protection to the Petitioner and her son and land in Sy.No.875/2B to an extent of Acl.21Cents of Bethameherla Village, Bethameherla Mandal, Nandyal District from Respondent No.5 to 9. Direct the 4th Respondent to forthwith register an FIR on the complaint dated 24.11.2025 lodged by the Petitioner and investigate into the cognizable offences committed by Respondents No. 5 to 9 and others, c) Pass such

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to direct the Respondents No.2 to 4 to provide immediate police protection to the Petitioner and her son and land in Sy.No.875/2B to an extent of Acl.21Cents of Bethameherla Village, Bethameherla Mandal, Nandyal District from any threats, interference, or illegal acts by Respondent No. 5 to 9 and their associates; and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.THATHIREDDY ASHOK SRIVASTAVA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**WRIT PETITION NO: 33697 of 2025****ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“...to Issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly a Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the Respondents 2 to 4 in registering a Criminal case against Respondents Nos. 5 to 9 and providing protection to the Petitioner as illegal, arbitrary, and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India
a) Direct the Respondents 2 to 4 to provide police protection to the Petitioner and her son and land in Sy.No.875/2B to an extent of Ac 1.21Cents of Bethameherla Village, Bethameherla Mandal, Nandyal District from Respondent No.5 to 9. Direct the 4th Respondent to forthwith register an FIR on the complaint dated 24.11.2025 lodged by the Petitioner and investigate into the cognizable offences committed by Respondents No. 5 to 9 and others,....”

2. Heard Sri Thathireddy Ashok Srivastava, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri V.Farook, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home for the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that there are civil disputes between the petitioner and the unofficial respondents. He would further submit that the petitioner filed a suit in O.S.No.424 of 2025 on the file of Principal Civil Judge (Junior Division), Kurnool FAC in the Court of the learned Principal Civil Judge (Junior Division), Dhone against the unofficial respondents. The said suit was decreed with costs in favour of the petitioner granting permanent injunction restraining the unofficial respondents from interfering into the peaceful possession of the petitioner. Even though, there is

a decree in favour of the petitioner, the unofficial respondents are trying to interfere in the possession of the petitioner over the subject property. For which, the petitioner made a complaint before the respondent police for providing police protection to the petitioner but there is no response from the respondent police.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions would submit that the SHO, Bethamcherla UPS, did not receive any report/petition from the petitioner or any others regarding the said incident.

5. Considering the submissions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. However, the petitioner is at liberty to make a fresh representation to the police and the respondent police are directed to act according to Governing Rules and law, in the light of the Judgment and Decree passed in favour of the petitioner in O.S.No.424 of 2025 on the file of the learned Principal Civil Judge (Junior Division), Dhone, vide Judgment dated 10.07.2025. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 04.12.2025.
UPS

209

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.33697 of 2025

Dated.04.12.2025

UPS