



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3504]

THURSDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 34166/2017

Between:

1.K. SRINIVASA RAO, S/O SATYAM NAIDU, AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS,
OCC AGRICULTURE, AND EX-SARPANCH OF MAJJIRAMUDIPETA
VILLAGE, REGIDI AMUDALAVALASA MANDAL, SRIKAKULAM
DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1.PRL SECY I CAD DEPT 5 ORS, IRRIGATION AND COMMAND AREA
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI,
AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

2.THE CHIEF ENGINEER MINOR IRRIGATION, WATER RESOURCES
DEPARTMENT, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT.

3.THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, BRR VAMSADHARA PROJECT
CIRCLE AND NODAL OFFICER OF NEERU-CHETTU PROGRAMME
SRIKAKULAM, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.

4.THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, WATER RESOURCE DEPARTMENT
IRRIGATION DIVISION, SRIKAKULAM.

5.Y RAVINDRA NAIDU, DEPUTY EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ENQUIRY
OFFICER, IRRIGATION SUB-DIVISION, TEKKALI, SRIKAKULAM
DISTRICT.

6.D V RAMANA ASSISTANT ENGINEER, SPECIAL SECTION REGIDI
AMADALAVALASA PRESENTLY WORKING AS ASSISTANT
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, W.R. DEPARTMENT, RAJAM OF

SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or Direction, declaring the inaction of the Respondent No. 5 in conducting enquiry on the allegations made against the 6th respondent in the petition filed by the petitioner on 2-5-2017 at the behest of local political leaders, as being illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the 5th respondent herein to conduct the enquiry as expeditiously as possible, and pass such other or further orders as the Hon' ble Court deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. GEDDAM SATISH BABU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR IRRI AND CAD (AP)

The Court made the following ORDER:

On 30.01.2026, this Court passed the following order:

“No representation for the petitioner.

List the matter next week under the caption ‘for dismissal’.

2. Today also, there is no representation for the petitioner, though the matter is listed under the caption “for dismissal”.
3. In the above circumstances, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

Date:05.02.2026

Dvs

165

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION No.34166 of 2017

Date: 05.02.2026

Dvs