



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3208]

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

WRIT PETITION NO: 33617/2025

Between:

1.MANGAM TATA, S/O. LATE CHINNAPPA AGE 88 YEARS, OCC
AGRICULTURE R/O.H.NO.3-38, TELAGA VEEDHI, KAMBALAPETA
VILLAGE BANTUPALLI PANCHAYAT, RANASTHALAM MANDAL,
SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF A P, REP. BY PRL. SECRETARY REVENUE
DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI

2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT,
SRIKAKULAM

3.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, SRIKAKULAM REVENUE
DIVISION, SRIKAKULAM

4.THE TAHSILDAR, RANASTHALAM MANDAL RANASTHALAM,
SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

5.THE VILLAGE REVENUE OFFICER, BANTUPALLI GRAM
PANCHAYAT, RANASTHALAM MANDAL SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toPleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus to declare the action of

respondent no.4 and 5 in interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of petitioner including obstructing petitioner's agricultural activities to an extent of Ac.0-14 cents in Sy.No.209-IA of Bantupalli Village of Ranasthalam Mandal of Srikakulam District without having any right and authority and even without issuance of notice threatening the petitioner in order to implicate false cases illegal, arbitrary, and violation of principles of natural justice under Article 14, 19, 300-A of the Constitution of India, consequently direct the respondents especially respondent no.4 and 5 not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of petitioner's agricultural land an extent of Ac.0-14 cents in Sy.No.209-1A of Bantupalli Village of Ranasthalam Mandal of Srikakulam District

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased Pleaded to direct the respondent no.4 and 5 not to obstruct agricultural activities in the petitioner's land to an extent of Ac.0-14 cents in Sy.No.209-IA of Bantupalli Village of Ranasthalam Mandal of Srikakulam District

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.K RATNA SAGAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.RAMESH**WRIT PETITION NO: 33617 of 2025****ORDER:**

This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of the India seeking the following relief:-

“to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent Nos.4 and 5 in interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of petitioner including obstructing petitioner’s agricultural activities to an extent of Ac.0.14 cents in Sy.No.209/1A of Bantupalli village, of Ranasthalam Mandal of Srikakulam District without having any right and authority and even without issuance of notice, threatening the petitioner in order to implicate false cases as illegal and arbitrary”.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader, on instructions, submits that the land in Sy.No.209/1A is a government land, out of total extent of Ac.0.30 cents, Ac.0.16 cents were handed over to the National High Way Authorities and accordingly the National High Way Authorities also laid a road and the remaining extent of Ac.0.14 cents is vacant land.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner relied on a document filed along with Writ Petition i.e., ROR 1B report. Even according to the said report, it clearly discloses that the same is the government land. There is no documentary evidence to show that the petitioner is in possession of subject land and there is no revenue record in the name of the petitioner. In view of the same, this Court is not inclined to pass any order.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel miscellaneous applications, pending, if any, shall also stand closed.

Dt:03.12.2025
sj

JUSTICE D.RAMESH

262

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D. RAMESH

WRIT PETITION NO: 33617 of 2025

Dt.03.12.2025

sj