

APHC010649442025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3396]

FRIDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA
WRIT PETITION NO: 33624/2025

Between:

SANKURUBOTHU VAMSI, S/O YOGESWARA RAO, AGED 20 YEARS,
RESIDENT OF HOME NO.405-1, VUDA COLONY NEAR CHANAKYA PUBLIC
SCHOOL, VIZIANAGARAM, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT, AADHAR 00.9614
4067 9818.

...PETITIONER

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF AP, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY DEPARTMENT
OF HOME, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI,
GUNTUR DISTRICT.
- 2.THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, COMMISSIONERATE OFFICE,
LOCATED AT SURYA BAGH, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA
PRADESH.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, PENDURTHY POLICE STATION, FALLS
UNDER THE VISAKHAPATNAIP COMMISSIONERATE OFFICE,
VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.
- 4.THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, PENDURTHY POLICE STATION,
VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.
5. SMT.CHILLA JHANSI RANI, W/O.SANKURUBOTHU VAMSI, C/O.CHILLA
CHANDRA SEKHAR, AGED 32 YEARS, R/O.D.NO.7-105/20, CHANUKYA
NAGAR, CHINNAMUSHIDIWADA, PENDURTHY, VISAKHAPATNAM,
PRESENTLY RESIDING AT 3RD FLOOR, SRI DURGA DATRI NILAYAM,
NEAR BY PENNDURTHY POLICE STATION, VISAKHAPATNAM.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

- 1.GINJALA VIJAYA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with
the following prayer for:

*“.... a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the staff of the 4th
Respondent Pendurthy Police Station in illegally summoning, threatening,
and pressuring the Petitioner and his parents to forcefully reside with the
Proposed Respondent No. 5 (Chilla Jhansi Rani), and threatening to*

register frivolous cases against them if they fail to comply, as illegal, arbitrary, unauthorized, against the procedure contemplated under the Code of BNSS 2023 and a gross violation of the fundamental rights of the Petitioner enshrined under Articles 14, 19, and 21 of the Constitution of India, 1950. Consequently, directing the Respondents No.1 to 4 to forthwith stop interfering in the personal life and liberty of the Petitioner and his parents, and restraining them from coercing or pressuring the Petitioner to live with the Proposed Respondent No. 5 against his will and further directing the Respondents No. 1 to 4 to follow the due process of law and judicial pronouncements which mandate that police authorities can only counsel parties in matrimonial disputes but cannot compel or coerce them to live together.”

2. Sri Ginjala Vijaya Kumar, learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit that, at the instance of Respondent No.5, Police are threatening Petitioner and his family members to register false cases against them.

3. Sri V.Farooq, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions, would submit that, based on the complaint lodged by Respondent No.5, she was informed by the Police that the matter pertains to family disputes and advised her to approach Mahila Police Station, Visakhapatnam and the Petitioner was not summoned to the Police Station any point of time.

4. Considering the submissions made, the Writ Petition is disposed of. However, Police are directed not to summon the Petitioner and his family members in the absence of any crime against them. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.33624 of 2025

Dt.05.12.2025

Dinesh