



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

**TUESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 12474/2025

Between:

1. MUTHINENI RAJA GOPAL, S/O. SESHIAH NAIDU, AGED 56 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, RESIDING AT FLAT NO. 503, GRAND KAKATHEEYA APARTMENT, RAM NAGAR, ANANTAPURAMU.
2. DEVINENIAVINASHCHOWDARY, S/O. MOHAN, AGED 37 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, RESIDING AT D.NO. 7-272, FLAT NO. 503, KAKATHEEYA APARTMENT, COURT ROAD, ANANTAPURAMU
3. AMILINENISAINATH ALIAS SAINATHBABU, S/O NARASIMHULU, AGED 66 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, RESIDING AT D.NO. 12-2-304/28, ADHARANA GMR RESIDENCY, HARIHARA NAGAR, ANANTAPURAMU.
4. MARNENIBHARATH, S/O. VENKATARAMANA, AGED 30 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, RESIDING AT D.NO.2/140, PULLAMPALLI VILLAGE TADIMARRIMANDAL, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT.
5. M NARASIMHA RAJU, S/O. KISTAPPA, AGED 32 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, RESIDING AT D. NO. 8/1225, YERRANELA STREET, KALYANADURGAM TOWN, ANANTAPURAMU DISTRICT.
6. K RAJASEKHAR, S/O. K. NARAYANA SWAMY, AGED 51 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, RESIDING AT D. NO. 3/160, PARVATHI NAGAR, KALYANADURGAM TOWN, ANANTAPURAMU DISTRICT.
7. M BHEEMALINGA, S/O. LATE M. THIMMAPPA, AGED 44 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, RESIDING AT VITLAMPALLI VILLAGE, KALYANADURGAM MANDAL, ANANTAPURAMU DISTRICT.
8. VELURUARAVIND, S/O. V. THIMMA REDDY, AGED 51 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, RESIDING AT D.NO. 1/6/94, UMA NAGAR,

KALYANADURGAM MANDAL, ANANTAPURAMU DISTRICT

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED(S)

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY STATION HOUSE OFFICER, DHARMAVARAM, URBAN POLICE STATION, THROUGH THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

2.EDIGA MANJU, S/O. EDIGA RAVI, AGED 31 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, MUDDINAYANAPALLI VILLAGE, KALYANADURGAM MANDAL, ANANTAPURAMU DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused(S):

1.SESHADRI GOALLA

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant(S):

1.R DURGA LATHASRI

2.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Despite service of notice on Respondent No.2, there is no representation on behalf of Respondent No.2.

2. Therefore, Smt. R.Durga Latha Sri, learned Counsel, is appointed as Legal Aid Counsel for Respondent No.2. The Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee, is directed to issue necessary proceedings in this regard.

3. Heard the learned Counsel for the Petitioners, the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor, and the learned Legal Aid Counsel for Respondent No.2.

4. On the complaint lodged by Respondent No.2, the police registered a case in Crime No.93 of 2024 of Kalyanadurgam Police Station for the alleged

offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short, 'the I.P.C.'). After completion of investigation, a charge sheet has been filed. The Investigating Officer examined as many as eight witnesses, and all of them have corroborated the version of L.W.1. The allegations as to whether the Petitioners assaulted and intimidated Respondent No.2 are matters to be adjudicated during trial upon appreciation of evidence adduced by the prosecution witnesses.

5. In view of the specific allegations levelled against the Petitioners and the overt acts attributed to them, this Court is of the opinion that the Calendar Case cannot be quashed by invoking the inherent powers under Section 482 of 'the Cr.P.C.' The Criminal Petition is devoid of merits.

6. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the Criminal Petition is disposed of, with a direction that the presence of the Petitioners before the learned Trial Court shall stand dispensed with, unless their attendance is specifically required by the Court for any purpose.

As a sequel, interlocutory applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Dr. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Dated: 03.02.2026
VTS