

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)



WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 33609 OF 2025

Between:

R Bhanumathi, W/o V.Ramprasad, Aged about 49 years, Occ . Housewife R/o
D.No.10-12-448/A, Kotakommalastreet, Tirupati.

..Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Department of
Municipal Administration Velagapudi, Amaravathi.
2. The Tirupati Municipal Corporation, Tirupati Rep., by its Commissioner.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ/s or Order/s more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.2 in revoking the building permission granted to the petitioner vide permit No. 1012/0068/B/TIR/SN/2025 dt. 07.07.2025, in violation of principles of natural justice, as being illegal arbitrary and violative of Articles 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India and consequently set aside the order of revocation of building permission dt. 23.07.2025 and permit the petitioner to make construction as per permit No. 1012/0068/B/TIR/SN/2025 dt. 07.07.2025.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to issue directions to the respondent No.2 to permit the petitioner to proceed with the construction as per permit No. 1012/0068/B/TIR/SN/2025 dt. 07.07.2025, Pending disposal of WP 33609 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri.V VENKATA MAYUR Advocate for the Petitioner, GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for Respondent No.1, Sri.P.Vengala Reddy, Standing counsel for R2 and the Court made the following.

ORDER:

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is aggrieved by the order of revocation of permission passed by the 2nd respondent.

It is submitted that the petitioner obtained permission on 07.07.2025 for the construction of a residential house over the property purchased by the petitioner, forming part and parcel of Sy. No. 697/3C. It is further submitted that the petitioner paid an amount of Rs. 5,18,269/- towards building permission.

However, the 2nd respondent, vide the impugned proceedings dated 23.07.2025, has revoked the permission. It is also submitted that the 2nd respondent received a complaint from one Sri Y. Eswar Reddy regarding the pendency of A.S. No. 700 of 2018.

It is submitted that the Tahsildar of Tirupati Urban Mandal had sub-divided the survey numbers, and a portion of the same was purchased by the petitioner. It is further submitted that O.S. No. 117 of 2008 filed by Sri Y. Eswar Reddy relates to 600 Ankanams of land; however, there is no mention of the survey number for the said land. The said suit was dismissed, and an appeal has been filed by Sri Y. Eswar Reddy. Interim directions were granted by the appellate Court. It is submitted that the petitioner's property is different and distinct from the suit schedule property, and the 2nd respondent could not have issued such proceedings revoking the protection of the petitioner.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the 2nd respondent.

The learned Standing Counsel for the 2nd respondent submits that this Court, while admitting the appeal suit, had granted interim directions, and the appeal suit is pending consideration.

Be that as it may, the complaint received by the 2nd respondent from Sri Y. Eswar Reddy is different, as there is no mention of the survey number in the suit or the suit schedule property.

On these considerations, the impugned proceedings shall stand suspended.

Counters are to be filed by the respondents.

Post the matter on 21.01.2026.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- N.NAGAMMA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, State of Andhra Pradesh, Department of Municipal Administration Velagapudi, Amaravathi. (by **Special Messenger**)
2. The Commissioner, Tirupati Municipal Corporation, Tirupati (by RPAD)
3. One CC to SRI. V VENKATA MAYUR Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP, High Court of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
5. One CC to Sri.P.Vengala Reddy, Standing counsel (OPUC)
6. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

HNJ

DATED:03/12/2025

Post the matter on 21.01.2026

ORDER

WP.No.33609 of 2025

SUSPENSION

