



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3208]

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

WRIT PETITION NO: 33511/2025

Between:

1. SMT. B BHARGAVI, W/O. SRI. B. PRATHAP, AGE 53 YEARS, OCC
AGRICULTURE. R/O. BAKARAPET VILLAGE, CHINAGOTIGALLU
MANDAL, TIRUPATI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR

2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, TIRUPATHI DISTRICT

3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, BAKARPET VILLAGE,
CHINAGOTIGALLU MANDAL TIRUPATHI DISTRICT.

4. THE MANDAL REVENUE OFFICER, CHINAGOTIGALLU MANDAL,
TIRUPATHI DISTRICT.

5. SHRI YASHWANTH, S/O. SUBRAMANYAM, R/O. BAKARPET
VILLAGE, CHINAGOTIGALLU MANDAL, TIRUPATHI DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus declaring the by issuing the impugned notice through vide ROC No.A/01/2025 dt. 01.10.2025 of the land

belonging to the petitioner in Sy. No. 235/6B to an extent of Ac. 1.14 cents situated at Bakrapet Village, Chinagotigalu Mandal. Tirupathi District, and trying to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the land without conducting any field inspection or local enquiry and without verifying the correctness of the complaint and without considering the representation submitted by the Petitioner vide dt. 09.10.2025 with all relevant documents as arbitrary, illegal and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and against principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner land in Sy.No. 235/6B to an extent of Ac. 1.14 cents situated at Bakrapet Village, Chinagotigalu Mandal, Tirupathi District and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to consider the explanation dt.09.10.2025 submitted by the petitioner to Respondent No.4 and pass speaking orders and till such time not to interfere with the petitioner land in Sy.No. 235/6B to an extent of Ac. 1.14 cents situated at Bakrapet Village, Chinagotigalu Mandal, Tirupathi District and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. THANDAVA YOGESH

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.RAMESH**WRIT PETITION NO: 33511 of 2025****ORDER:**

This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of the India seeking the following relief:-

“to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in interfering with the peaceful possession of the petitioner, based on the impugned notice dated 01.10.2025, with regard to the land in Sy.No.235/6B to an extent of Ac.1.14 cents situated at Bakarpet village, Chinagotigalu Mandal, Tirupathi District, without conducting any field inspection or local enquiry and without considering the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 09.10.2025 as illegal and arbitrary”.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue.

3. Learned counsel for the submits that the petitioner is the absolute owner and enjoying the peaceful possession of the subject property for over 25 years. Pattadar pass book and title deed were also granted in favour of petitioner by the revenue authorities and the petitioner is in possession of said property by raising different crops. While things so, the respondent authorities have issued notice on 01.10.2025 for conducting survey and the petitioner has also submitted her explanation on 09.10.2025, but without conducting

survey and without passing any orders as per the provisions, now, the respondent authorities are trying to dispossess the petitioner.

4. Considering the submissions made by both the learned counsel, this court is inclined to dispose of the writ petition, without going into the merits of the case, directing the respondent authorities to consider the explanation submitted by the petitioner dated 09.10.2025 and conduct survey and pass final orders by providing opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. Till such time, the respondent authorities shall not dispossess the petitioner from the subject property. This Court is not inclined to go into the merits of the case, hence, notice to respondent No.5 is dispensed with.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel miscellaneous applications, pending, if any, shall also stand closed.

JUSTICE D.RAMESH

Dt:03.12.2025

sj

229

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D. RAMESH

WRIT PETITION NO: 33511 of 2025

Dt.03.12.2025

sj