



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3206]

**SATURDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R RAGHUNANDAN RAO

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 6856/2023

Between:

Sgn Hafeez Pash

...PETITIONER

AND

A Md Imtiza Ias and Others

...CONTEMNOR(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. RAVULA NAGARJUNA

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1.

2. SHAIK KHAJA BASHA

The Court made the following Order:

This Contempt Case has been filed alleging that the interim direction of this Court, dated 18.04.2023 is not being complied.

The main contention is that, the petitioner is not being permitted to carry on his religious duties as Sajjadanashen and that the petitioner is not being allowed to collect the galafs and eatables, which are presented by the devotees.

Pending disposal of the Contempt Case, this Court, had requested both parties to give names of Advocates practicing in Nellore District for being appointed as an Advocate Commissioner to verify whether the petitioner is being permitted to carry on his religious duties as Sajjadasheem and whether the petitioner is being permitted to collect the galafs and eatables.

Both sides have given names of advocates, who are practicing in Nellore District.

Sri Y. Muralidhar Reddy (AP/1034/1999) and Sri Raheem Khan, learned counsels are jointly appointed as Advocate Commissioners. To verify, by periodic visits, whether there is a compliance of the direction of this Court or not. They shall be paid Rs.15,000/- as Advocate Commissioner Fees. Sri Y. Muralidhar Reddy, learned counsel to be paid by the petitioner and Sri Raheem Khan, learned counsel to be paid by the Waqf Board.

It is reiterated, to obviate any controversy in cash offerings made by the devotees shall not be handed over to the petitioner and shall abide by the decision of the Court in the main Writ Petition.

Post on 18.11.2024.

R. RAGHUNANDAN RAO,J

KPV