

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH : AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No: W.A.No.1336 of 2025

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
06.	24.02.2026	<u>CMR, J & GTK, J</u> <u>I.A.No.2 of 2025</u> This application is filed seeking leave to the appellants to file the Writ Appeal. Heard learned counsel for the appellants/ petitioners, learned counsel for unofficial respondent Nos.1 and 2/writ petitioners and learned Government Pleader for Revenue for official respondent Nos.3 to 5. Perused the counter filed by unofficial respondents. The unofficial respondents herein have filed the Writ Petition seeking direction to the revenue authorities to consider the MeeSeva application to effect mutation in the name of 1st respondent in the revenue records. The said Writ Petition was disposed of by the impugned order directing the revenue authorities to consider the application and to effect mutation after following due process of law. Now, the writ appellants contend that their father is the assignee in respect of the subject land and a D-Patta was granted to him and he has mortgaged the said land to the writ petitioners and obtained loan and he discharged the loan. But as he cultivated the land, his name continued to be recorded in the revenue records and taking advantage of the same that the writ petitioners are now seeking mutation of the land. To a pointed question as to how the father of the unofficial respondent No.1 got title over the subject land,	Contd.....

learned counsel for unofficial respondents could not give proper answer to the same and simply states that he has been in continuous possession of the land for a long time.

Therefore, in the said facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the considered view that the appellants are entitled to leave to file the appeal, as they are the aggrieved parties by the impugned order.

Therefore, the petition is allowed granting leave to the appellants to file the Writ Appeal against the impugned order passed in Writ Petition No.11692 of 2025, dated 05.05.2025.

I.A.No.1 of 2025

Dispensed with for the present.

I.A.No.3 of 2025

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel for learned counsel for unofficial respondent Nos.1 and 2 and learned Government Pleader for Revenue for official respondent Nos.3 to 5.

No counter reported by the respondents.

Petition is allowed, condoning the delay of 128 days in filing the appeal for the reasons stated explaining the delay in the affidavit filed in support of the application.

W.A.No.1336 of 2025

List the matter for hearing on 10.03.2026.

CMR, J

GTK, J

BMS