



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3208]

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 5521/2022

Between:

1. NARASAMMA, S/O M NARAYANAPPA, AGED 67 YEARS,
OCC. AGRICULTURE, R/ O D.NO .1-129,
EADULABALAPURAM, SOMANDEPALLI MANDAL, SRI
SATHYA SAI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. SRI P BASANTH KUMAR I.A.S, The District Collector,
Sri Sathya Sai District at Puttaparti, Erstwhile Ananthapur
District.

...CONTEMNOR

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to please to punish the respondents herein under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for deliberately disobeying the order of this Hon'ble Court passed on 05.07.2022 in W.P.No. 18798 OF 2022 by not obeying the same and for his conduct in bringing down the dignity and majesty of this Hon'ble Court and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.P NARAHARI BABU

Counsel for the Contemnor:

1.A S C BOSE

2.NARASIMHA REDDY. G . L

The Court made the following:

The Court made the following ORDER:

This contempt case is filed for non-implementation of the orders passed by this Court in W.P.No.18798 of 2022, dated 05.07.2022, wherein the writ petition was disposed of directing the respondents to dispose of the application of the petitioner dated 16.06.2021.

2. After service of notice, the contemnor has filed counter affidavit.

3. According to the averments made in the counter affidavit, the respondents, based on the report submitted by the Tahsildar, Somandepalli, to the Sub-Collector, Penukonda *vide* Rc.No.89/2022/A, dated 12.09.2022, considered the petitioner's application dated 16.06.2021 and passed orders rejecting the claim *vide* Rc.No.1727/2022/D, dated 13.09.2022. The said proceedings were annexed to the counter affidavit and the same was not contradicted by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. In view of the same, as the direction issued by writ Court was to dispose of the petitioner's application dated 16.06.2021, the order stands complied with. Hence, the Contempt Case is ***closed***. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to work out

remedies in assailing the said rejection order. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall also stand closed.

JUSTICE D.RAMESH

Date: 28.04.2026
ANS

198

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 5521/2022

Date: 28.04.2026
ANS