

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF FEBRUARY,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND
CONTEMPT CASE NO: 6848 OF 2023



Between:

1. Srighakollapu Nagamani, W/o Subba Rao, Hindu, Aged about 65 years, House Wife, R/o.D.No.9-6, Main Road, Kothapeta (V), Kothapeta Mandal, East Godavari District.
2. Srighakollapu Bhadrakali, W/o Satyanarayana, Hindu, Aged about 60 years, House Wife, R/o.D.No.9-6, Main Road, Kothapeta (V), Kothapeta Mandal, East Godavari District.
3. Srighakollapu Venkata Subalakshmi, W/o Viswanadham, Hindu, Aged about 63 years, House Wife, R/o.D.No.6-10, Main Road, Vadapalem (V), Kothapeta Mandal, East Godavari District.

...Petitioners

AND

1. Sri Himanshu Shukla, IAS, The District Collector, Kakinada, East Godavari District, Now Konaseema District.
2. Sri J.D.Kishore Babu, The Tahsildar, Kothapeta Mandal, East Godavari District, Now Konaseema District.
3. Sri V.V.Ramana, The Panchayat Secretary, Kothapeta Gram Panchayat, Kothapeta Mandal, East Godavari District, Now Konaseema District.

...Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioners have presented under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act 1971 r/w Article 215 of the Constitution of India, above case through their counsel Sri Narasimha Rao Gudiseva, praying the

High Court to punish the Respondents for their willful and deliberate acts in not disposing the representations dated 08.03.2022 and 20.04.2022 passed in W.P.No.23371 of 2022;

WHEREAS the said case coming on for hearing on this day and whereas the High Court upon perusing the affidavit filed herein and the orders of High Court dated 11.01.2024 & 08.01.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Narasimha Rao Gudiseva, Advocate for the Petitioners and of Sri K.M.Krishna Reddy, Advocate for Respondent No.1 and of Sri P.Subash, Advocate for Respondent No.2 and of Sri Y.Koteswara Rao, Advocate for Respondent No.3, Court made the following

ORDER:

“In compliance of the notice issued in Form-I, the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the order of the Court is complied with and the encroachments are removed. But, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the encroachments are not removed.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, appearance of 1st respondent is dispensed with at present.

Post on 20.02.2026.”

//TRUE COPY//

**Sd/-U.SRIDEVI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Sri Himanshu Shukla, IAS, The District Collector, Kakinada, East Godavari District, Now Konaseema District.(by RPAD)
2. One CC to Sri Narasimha Rao Gudiseva, Advocate[OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri K.M.Krishna Reddy, Advocate[OPUC]

4. One CC to Sri P.Subash, Advocate[OPUC]
5. One CC to Sri Y.Koteswara Rao, Advocate[OPUC]
6. One spare copy.

PSD

HIGH COURT

DEV,J

DATE:06/02/2026

NOTE: POST ON 20.02.2026

ORDER

CC.No.6848 of 2023

DISPENSED WITH

