



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

WEDNESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA
WRIT PETITION NO: 33014/2023**

Between:

1. YERRAPUREDDY VENKATARAMA REDDY, S/O ADHI REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION, R/O. DOOR NO. 18/3,
PATHIVANDLAPALLI VILLAGE, T.SUNDUPALLI MANDAL,
ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, ERSTWHILE YSR KADAPA DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDING,
VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT,
RAYACHOTY, ERSTWHILE YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

3. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, T.SUNDUPALLI POLICE STATION,
T. SUNDUPALLI, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT ERSTWHILE YSR
KADAPA DISTRICT.

4. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, RURAL POLICE STATION,
RAYACHOTY RURAL, RAYACHOTY, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT,
ERSTWHILE YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

5. RAMAKRISHNA REDDY, S/O. NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER.
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS, OCC SUB INSPECTOR, T.SUNDUPALLI
POLICE STATION, T. SUNDUPALLI, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT,
ERSTWHILE YSR KADAPA DISTRICT

6. TULASI RAM, S/O NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER, AGED
ABOUT 48 YEARS, OC CIRCLE INSPECTOR, RAYACHOTY RURAL
POLICE STATION, RAYACHOTY, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT,
ERSTWHILE YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

7. YERRAPUREDDY SHEKAR REDDY, S/O Y. CHINNAPA REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, OCC. CULTIVATION, R/O. D. NO. 18/1,
PATHIVANDALAPALLI, T.SUNDUPALLI, PEDDABALIJA PALLI,
ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, ERSTWHILE YSR KADAPA DISTRICT..

8. YERRAPUREDDY CHINNAPPA REDDY CHINNA REDDY, S/O AUDI
REDDY, AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION, R/O. D. NO.
18/1, PATHIVANDALAPALLI, T.SUNDUPALLI, PEDDABALIJA PALLI,
ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, ERSTWHILE YSR KADAPA DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. SANAGA ASHOK KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:**ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“.... to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd and 4th respondents involving in civil disputes and illegally detained the petitioner and pressurized the petitioner to compromise the civil matter with the 7th respondent is as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Principles of natural justice and violative of Art.21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the 2nd respondent to conduct departmental enquiry against the 3rd to 6th respondents per the G.O.Ms.No.288 / 2010, dated 06-11-2010”

2. Heard Sri S.Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home would submit that, based on the complaint made by the petitioner, the police registered a case in Crime No. 127 of 2021 for the offences punishable under Sections 447, 427, and 506 read with Section 34 of the IPC against the unofficial respondents. Thereafter, the investigation in the said crime was completed, a charge sheet was filed, and the Court took cognizance of the same in C.C. No. 651 of 2021 on the file of the learned Principal Junior Civil Judge, Rayachoty. During the pendency of the said case, the parties were creating a law and order problem in the village; therefore, respondent No. 3 herein submitted a requisition to the Tahsildar to initiate proceedings under Section 145 Cr.P.C. Aggrieved by the same, respondent No. 7 filed CrI.R.C. No. 414 of 2023 and obtained a stay of all further proceedings in M.C. No. 34 of 2023. Learned Assistant

Government Pleader would further submit that the petitioner submitted a Spandana petition on 16.10.2023, which was endorsed to the Inspector of Police. Upon receipt of the said complaint, the police conducted a preliminary enquiry and, after recording the statement of respondent No. 7, found that the matter was civil in nature and advised the parties to settle the dispute before the concerned Court.

4. Recording the said submissions, the Writ Petition is disposed of. However, the police are directed not to interfere in the civil disputes between the parties except under due process of law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Date:10.12.2025
Asr

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.33014 of 2023

Dt.10.12.2025

Asr