

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI
MAIN CASE: WRIT PETITION NOS:32902, 31119 OF 2025
PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
	19.02.2026	<u>VN, J</u> <u>COMMON ORDER:-</u> The Writ Petition No.32902 of 2025 is filed to declare the action of Respondent No.2 in issuing acknowledgment of amendment of Society dated 05.11.2025 with respect to Residences at Mid-Valley City Owners Association (for short, TRMVCOA), as illegal and arbitrary to the provisions of Andhra Pradesh Societies Registration Act, 2001. The Writ Petition No.31119 of 2025 is filed to declare the proceedings in Application No.ATS012500133794, dated 05.11.2025 on the file of District Registrar, Guntur in respect of “The Residences at Mid-Valley City Owners Association” bearing Society Registration No.142 of 2024 under Section 9 of the Andhra Pradesh Societies Registration Act, 2001, as illegal and arbitrary. The facts leading for filing these Writ Petitions are as follows:- The Petitioner in W.P.No.32902 of 2025 is owner of Residential Flat No.1201 in Trishul Tower and Petitioner in W.P.No.31119 of 2025 is	

		owner of Residential Flat No.201 in Kailash Towers of Mid-Valley City consisting of Trishul, Kailash and Everest Towers. An association was formed by name “Residences at Mid-Valley City Owners Association” and was registered under the provisions of The Andhra Pradesh Societies Registration Act, 2001(for short, ‘the Act’) with Registration No.142/2024. As per the by-laws of the Society, all the owners of the flats in the premises are members of the association and the by-laws also provide for an Executive Committee consisting of President, Vice President, Secretary, Joint Secretary, Treasurer and two (02) executive body members. The by-laws also state that the executive body shall be through election process. The core dispute in both these Writ Petitions is with regard to rival elections conducted by the members of the Society and the acceptance of the elected list of members i.e., Respondent Nos.4 to 13 by Respondent No.2, without acknowledging the elected list of the rival company. After hearing Sri V. Venu Gopala Rao, learned Senior Counsel representing on behalf of M/s. Ch. Jamadagni, learned counsel for the Petitioner and Sri C. Subodh, learned Senior Counsel representing on behalf of Sri Akhil Chowdary, learned counsel for Respondent Nos.2	
--	--	---	--

to 13, this Court suggested for settlement of dispute through mediation process and the learned respective counsels after consultation with the respective clients had agreed for referring the dispute to the mediation.

Therefore, there shall be an interim order as under:

(i) The parties are directed to appear before the Mediation Center, High Court of A.P. on 05.03.2026.

(ii) Sri K.Sita Ram (1785), learned counsel, is appointed as the Mediator for resolving the dispute.

(iii) Fees and schedule of fees of the Mediator can be fixed by the Mediator in consultation with the respective counsel.

VN, J

chs

--	--	--	--