



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3332]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

**PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI**

WRIT PETITION NO: 33023/2025

Between:

Rajaka Jana Sangham,

...PETITIONER

AND

State of Andhra Pradesh and others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. MANGENA SREE RAMA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(s):

1. GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

2. GP FOR HOME

3. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following order:

Notice before admission.

Learned Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj & Rural Development
Department takes notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 3.

Learned Government Pleader for Revenue takes notice on behalf of
respondent Nos.2 and 4.

Sri Y.Koteswararao, learned standing counsel for Gram Panchayat,
takes notice on behalf of respondent No.5.

Learned Government Pleader for Home takes notice on behalf of
respondent No.6.

Post on 26.12.2025 in the Motion List.

JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

I.A.No.1 of 2025

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent authorities are proposing to allot Koneru Cheruvu and its tank bund to an extent of Ac.1.50 cents in R.S.No.929 of Gangolu Revenue, Saggonda Panchayat, Gopalapuram Mandal, East Godavari District for construction of temple and also allowing the encroachers to occupy the same. He further submits that if the action of the respondents is permitted to continue, it would not only affect the rights of the petitioner, but it would also be contrary to the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in W.P(PIL) No.140 of 2022 and batch, wherein it was held that all the encroachments over the government lands of different classifications, viz., tank land/grama kantham/burial ground/forest land/road margin/play ground/cart track/hill poramboke/coastal areas/grazing land etc., the revenue and grama panchayat shall be removed. Hence, prayed to pass appropriate orders protecting the interest of the petitioner.

Perused the record and considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner. There is some force in the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that if the authorities allot the subject tank and its bund for construction of temple, it would cause inconvenience to the public and would also be contrary to the judgment of the Division Bench referred to supra. In view of the same, *prima facie* case has been made out for consideration. As such, this Court is inclined to pass the following order:

“There shall be an order of *status quo* with regard to subject property, till 26.12.2025.”

JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

27.11.2025

MP

