



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA  
PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3327]**

WEDNESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF DECEMBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

**PRESENT  
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K SREENIVASA REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 33561/2025**

**Between:**

1. SYED ROSHAN, S/O. SHARFUDDIN, AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS, R/O D.NO. 17-2-9, MAGDUM NAGAR 2ND LINE. OLD GUNTUR, GUNTUR DISTRICT-522001.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. THE STATE OF AP, OF A.P. REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DAIRY DEVELOPMENT AND FISHERIES DEPT SECRETARIAT VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI GUNTUR DISTRICT AP.
2. THE COMMISSIONER OF FISHERIES, PORANKI BANDAR ROAD VIJAYAWADA KRISHNA DISTRICT.
3. THE ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR OF FISHERIES, C/O DIRECTOR OF FISHERIES, PORANKI BANDAR ROAD VIJAYAWADA KRISHNA DISTRICT.
4. THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF FISHERIES/ADMIN, C/O COMMISSIONER OF FISHERIES, PORANKI BANDAR ROAD VIJAYAWADA KRISHNA DISTRICT
5. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or order or a direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not initiating any action against the delinquents either departmental or under

the relevant penal laws basing on the enquiry report submitted by the enquiry officer nor considered or passed any order on the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 06.11.2025, as is illegal, irregular and violative of the Classification, Control, Appeal Rules and Conduct Rules framed thereunder and also violative of principles of natural justice, consequently direct the respondent to take action against the delinquents under the relevant penal laws as per the enquiry report submitted by the enquiry officer and pass

**IA NO: 1 OF 2025**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 06.11.2025, pending disposal of the Writ Petition and pass

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1.RAMAKRISHNA AKURATHI

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1.GP FOR ANIMAL HUSBANDARY

**The Court made the following:**

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K. SREENIVASA REDDY****WRIT PETITION No.33561 of 2025****ORDER:**

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking to declare the action of respondents in not initiating any action against the delinquents either departmental or under the relevant penal laws basing on the enquiry report submitted by the enquiry officer nor considered or passed any order on the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 06.11.2025 as illegal and arbitrary.

2. Case of the petitioner is that petitioner filed a written complaint against one Sanjeeva Rao, District Fisheries Officer, Palnadu, alleging serious financial irregularities and misuse of government funds under Pradhan Mantri Mathsy Sampada Yojana Scheme. Based on his complaint, the Commissioner of Fisheries, Andhra Pradesh, appointed Dr.K.Shanthi, Deputy Director of Fisheries as the enquiry officer to examine the matter in detail. During enquiry, pursuant to the notice dated 27.02.2025 issued to him, petitioner appeared before the enquiry officer on 06.03.2025 and submitted relevant records. The enquiry officer completed the enquiry and prepared a report and submitted the same to the Commissioner of Fisheries for further course of

action. Though the enquiry was probed into upon the complaint made by petitioner by appointing an enquiry officer and after submission of detailed report long back to the authorities, no action was taken against the delinquents. It is further stated that petitioner made a representation on 06.11.2025 narrating the facts and asked the authorities to furnish the information about the action taken against the delinquents.

3. Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned counsel for respondents.

4. Learned counsel for respondents submits that the matter be disposed of, with a direction to dispose of the representation dated 06.11.2025 submitted by the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances, this Court directs the respondent authorities to dispose of the representation dated 06.11.2025 made by the petitioner herein in accordance with law, within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

7. As a sequel thereto, the miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

Date: 10.12.2025  
sj

---

**K. SREENIVASA REDDY, J.**

**132****HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K. SREENIVASA REDDY****Writ Petition No.33561 of 2025****Date: 10.12.2025**

sj