



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

WEDNESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA
WRIT PETITION NO: 33998/2025**

Between:

1. CHITIKELA ARUNA KUMARI, SMD/O MUNEYYA, AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS, OCC WORKING AS ANM, RESIDENT OF RUDRAVARAM VILLAGE AND MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HOME, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, NANDYAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT.
3. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, RUDRAVARAM POLICE STATION, NANDYAL DISTRICT.
4. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, RUDRAVARAM POLICE STATION, NANDYAL DISTRICT.
5. RACHIPPALA SYFUDDIN, S/O MABU SHARIFF, AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS, OCC DIGITAL ASSISTANT, RESIDENT OF ALLAGADDA TOWN ANDDD MANDAL, PRESENTLY WORKING AT VILLAGE SECRETARIAT, CHAKARAJUVEMULA, DOMIPADU MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT.
6. ABDUL SUBHAN, S/O MABU SHARIFF, AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS, OCC DIGITAL ASSISTANT, RESIDENT OF ALLAGADDA TOWN AND MANDAL, PRESENTLY WORKING AT VILLAGE SECRETARIAT, R.JAMBULADINNE, UYYALAWADA MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT.
7. SRI MABU SHARIFF, S/O NOT KNOWN. AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, RESIDENT OF ALLAGADDA TOWN AND MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT.
8. SMT SHAMSHAD BEGUM, W/O MABU SHARIFF, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, OEC HOUSE WIFE, RESIDENT OF ALLAGADDA TOWN 85 MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT.
9. SRI KAMAL SHA, S/O NOT KNOWN. AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, RESIDENT OF ALLAGADDA TOWN 85 MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue Writ, or Direction especially one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in not initiating any action against the respondents 5 to 9 in view of the complaint made by the petitioner on 03-10-2025 requesting to take action against the respondents 5 to 9 who are trying to eliminate the life of the petitioner by following her while she was attending her duties at Allagadda Village Secretariat, Nandyal District is illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and also violation of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the 2nd respondent to take necessary action on the complaint dated 03-10-2025 made by the petitioner according to law and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.VUTUPALLI RAJANNA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has been filed, by the Petitioner for the following relief:

" ... to issue Writ, or Direction especially one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in not initiating any action against the respondents 5 to 9 in view of the complaint made by the petitioner on 03.10.2025 requesting to take action against the respondents 5 to 9 who are trying to eliminate the life of the petitioner by following her while she was attending her duties at Allagadda Village Secretariat, Nandyal District is illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and also violation of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the 2nd respondent to take necessary action on the complaint dated 03.10.2025 made by the petitioner according to law and pass..."

Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home representing for respondent State. Perused the material on record.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader placed on record written instructions and would submit that Petitioner's residence is located within 100 metres of Rudravaram Police Station, respondent Police conducted patrolling in petitioner's locality, warned respondents 5 to 9, and acted upon the earlier complaint given by the Petitioner, Police always assisted her and whenever any threat is brought to the notice, Police provided protection to the Petitioner, in accordance with law and requested to dispose of the Writ Petition by passing appropriate orders.

In that view, this Writ Petition is disposed of. Respondent Police are directed to follow due process of law with regard to the subject matter. No order as to costs.

Pending applications, if any, shall stand closed.

DR.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

*Mjl/**
10.12.2025

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Writ Petition No. 33998 of 2025

10.12.2025

*Mjl /**