



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

FRIDAY, THE 19th DAY OF JUNE 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

I.A.NO.1 OF 2026 IN/AND CONTEMPT CASE NO: 4106/2025

Between:

1.K PARAMESWARA RAO, S/O. LATE ADINARAYANA, AGED ABOUT 78 YEARS, OCC.RETIRED SECRETARY, KARLAM PACS, R/O. PRESENTLY RESIDING AT POTHAYAVALASA VILLAGE, VENKATAPURAM POST. VIA JR PURAM, LAVERU MANDAL, SRIKAKULAMDISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.CH DINESH KUMAR, S/O. LATE KANNAMA NAIDU, AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS, OCC. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFTCER, IN KARLAM PACS, CHEEPURAPALLI MANDAL, VIZAYANAGARAM DISTRICT.

...CONTEMNOR

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to punish the respondent, for his willful, deliberate and wanton violation of the Order dated 29.11.2024 passed in W.P.No. 18410 of 2011 by this Hon'ble Court Under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to recall the Non-Bailable Warrant issued on 01.05.2026 against the Respondent in C.C.No.4106 of 2025 and pass.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.BINDU VEGIRAJU

Counsel for the Contemnor:

1.BH RAMA KRISHNA NAIK

The Court made the following:

COMMON ORDER:-

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondent.

2. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the respondent submits that the respondent has complied with the order of this Court. To that effect, proceedings dated 28.04.2026 have been placed on record.

3. A perusal of the docket proceedings reveals that, despite service of notice, the respondent failed to appear before this Court. Consequently, this Court issued Form-I to the respondent on 27.02.2026. Even thereafter, though the respondent was duly served, he failed to appear before this Court. Accordingly, by order dated 01.05.2026, this Court directed the Superintendent of Police, Vizianagaram District, to arrest the respondent and produce him before this Court. Pursuant to the said order, the respondent filed I.A. No.1 of 2026 seeking recall of the Non-Bailable Warrant.

4. Having regard to the fact that the respondent has complied with the order of this Court, as is evident from the proceedings dated 28.04.2026, this Court is of the view that no further orders are required to be passed in the present Contempt Case.

5. Accordingly, I.A. No.1 of 2026 is allowed. Consequently, the Contempt Case is closed.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

Date.19.06.2026
SR