

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MONDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF FEBRUARY

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL PETITION NOS: 12103, 12106 OF 2025

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 12103 OF 2025:

Between:

Sri C. Venu Reddy, S/o. C. Govinda Reddy, Aged about 45 years, Occ-
Political Worker (YSRCP), R/o H.No. 2/257, Beside BIT College, Pedda
Guddam Palli, Maluguru, Ananthapur District - 515212

Petitioner/Accused No.1

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by the Station House Officer,
Hindupur I-Town Police Station, Sri Sathya Sai District, Through the
Public Prosecutor, High Court of A.P., Amaravati.

Respondent No.1

2. H.N.Ramappa, S/o. Late Nagappa, Aged about 51 years, R/o.
Mittameedapalli Village, Hindupu Mandal, Sri Sathya Sai District,
Andhra Pradesh - 515201.

Defacto Complainant/Respondent No.2

Petition under Section 482 of BNSS (438 of Cr.P.C.,) praying that in
the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal



Petition, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the Petitioner/ Accused No. 1 on bail in the event of his arrest in FIR/ Cr.No. 260 of 2025 dated 15.11.2025 on the file of the Hindupur I-Town Police Station, Sri Sathya Sai District

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 12106 OF 2025:

Between:

1. B.N. Lokesh, S/o B.N. Srinivasulu, Aged about 35 years, Occ Real Estate Work, R/o. H.No. 27/8/2089, Vinayaka Nagar, Mudireddypalli, Hindupur, Sri Sathya Sai District
2. Sri B. Divakar Reddy, S/o Bayapureddy Aged about 22 years, Occ Cultivation, R/o. H.No. 9-146, Kuralapalli Village, Lepakshi Mandal, Sri Sathya Sai District
3. Sri M. Waseem, S/o Late P. Mujib, Aged about 28 years, Occ Mechanic, R/o. H.No. 26-4-514, Thyagaraju Nagar, Hindupur, Sri Sathya Sai District, A.P
4. Sri G. Naveen Kumar, S/o Govindappa, Aged about 36 years, Occ Daily Labour, Community Scheduled Caste (SC - Madiga) R/o. H.No. 9-7-8A, Ambedkar Nagar, Hindupur, Sri Sathya Sai District, A.P.
5. Sri M.N. Manoj Kumar, S/o M. Sreenivasulu, Aged about 30 years, Occ Driver, R/o. H.No. 5-11-571, CPI Colony, Hindupur, Sri Sathya Sai District, A.P. - 515201.
6. Sri Shaik Mohammed Irfan, S/o Shaik Shameer, Aged about 26 years, Occ Timber Worker, R/o. H.No. 26-4-3089, Balaji Nagar, Hindupur, Sri Sathya Sai District, A.P.

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by the Station House Officer,
Hindupur I-Town Police Station, Sri Sathya Sai District, Through the
Public Prosecutor, High Court of A.P., Amaravati.

Respondent No.1

2. H.N.Ramappa, S/o. Late Nagappa, Aged about 51 years, R/o.
Mittameedapalli Village, Hindupu Mandal, Sri Sathya Sai District,
Andhra Pradesh - 515201.

Defacto Complainant/Respondent No.2

Petition under Section 482 of BNSS (438 of Cr.P.C.,) praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the Petitioners/ Accused No. 2 to 7 on bail in the event of his arrest in FIR/ Cr.No. 260 of 2025 dated 15.11.2025 on the file of the Hindupur I- Town Police Station, Sri Sathya Sai District

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER : SRI. GAJJALA MALLIKARJUNA REDDY

COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENT No.1: PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**CRIMINAL PETITION No: 12103 of 2025 & 12106 of 2025****Common Order:**

The Criminal Petition No.12103 of 2025 is filed by accused No.1 and Criminal Petition No.12106 of 2025 is filed by the accused Nos.2 to 7 under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity, 'the BNSS'), in Crime No.260 of 2025 of Hindupur I Town Police Station, Sri Sathya Sai District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 324(4), 329(3), 109(1), 351(2) read with 190 of BNS and Sections 3(1)(r) and 3(1)(s) of the SCs & STs (PoA) Act.

2. Since both the petitions arise out of same crime and petitioners are different accused, they are disposed of together by this common order.

3. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 15.11.2025 at 4.00 p.m., Accused Nos. 1 to 14 and around 14 unknown persons formed into unlawful assembly, criminally trespassed into YSRCP Party Office in Hindupur town by shouting loudly that they will eliminate Deepika and Venu Reddy and that damaged the office walls, furniture and electronic items. When the YSRCP party activists tried to restrain the accused persons, they threatened to kill them.

4. Heard Sri G. Mallikarjuina Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners and Ms. K. Priyanka Lakshmi, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor representing the respondent No.1/State and learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2/de facto complainant.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that except omnibus allegations, nothing is specifically attributed against any of the petitioners herein to attract the offence under SCs & STs (PoA) Act. He would further submit that this is a case and counter case. As could be seen from the place of incident, the de facto complainant party came to the office of the petitioners party and made a galata. The petitioners who are accused Nos.2 to 7 are de facto complainants in Crime No.267 of 2025, while the defacto complainant in the present crime is an accused therein. The investigating officer has to decide who the aggressors are and who the victims are. It is further submitted that there is interim protection which was granted by this Court which is in force till this day and the same protection may be continued to the petitioners pending investigation.

6. Respondent No.2 submitted that Court may pass appropriate orders in the matters.

7. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor submits that investigation is still pending to ascertain the roles of the parties and, in the event of granting anticipatory bail, stringent conditions may be imposed.

8. Having considered the submissions and upon a *prima facie* perusal of the material on record, it appears that the alleged incident arose out of political rivalry and occurred when the petitioners allegedly entered the political office and the rival group confronted them. Except omnibus allegations, no specific overt acts are attributed to the petitioners to attract the provisions of the SCs &

STs (PoA) Act. At this stage, this Court cannot adjudicate upon culpability. It is also pertinent to note that no injuries are reported.

9. In the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to consider request of petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail, however, subject to conditions.

10. Accordingly, petitioners shall appear before the concerned jurisdictional Court, within one (1) week, from the date of this order, and each of them shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand only) with two sureties for the like sum each, to the satisfaction of the said Court. The petitioners shall make themselves available for investigation as and when required and that they shall not cause any threat, inducement or promise to the prosecution witnesses. The petitioners shall appear before the Station House Officer concerned, once in a week i.e. on every Sunday between 10.00 a.m. and 01.00 p.m. till filing of the charge sheet.

It is made clear that the observations made in these petitions are purely for the purpose of exercising the discretion to grant bail. It is not on the touching the merits of the case.

11. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition Nos.12103 of 2025 and 12106 of 2025 are allowed.

SD/- T. SRINIVASA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

//TRUE COPY//

I

To,

1. The Station House officer, Hindupur I-Town Police Station, Sri Sathya Sai District
2. H.N.Ramappa, S/o. Late Nagappa, Aged about 51 years, R/o. Mittameedapalli Village, Hindupu Mandal, Sri Sathya Sai District, Andhra Pradesh - 515201. (by RPAD)
3. One CC to SRI. GAJJALA MALLIKARJUNA REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court of A.P[OUT]
5. **One spare copy**

HIGH COURT

DR.VJP,J

DATED:09/02/2026

BAIL ORDER

CRIMINAL PETITION NOS: 12103, 12106 OF 2025

ALLOWED

