



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 12110/2025

Between:

1. KATRU NAGABABU, S/O K. RAGHAVA, AGE ABOUT 32 YEARS, OCC POLITICS R/O DO.NO. 16-22-82, SATYA NAGAR, KAKINADA URBAN, KAKINADA DIST. AP.
2. KORAMATI MAHARSHI, S/O NOOKARAO, AGE 31 YEARS R/O DO.NO.1-28, VALASAPAKALA JUNCTION, NEAR KRISHNA TEMPLE, VAKALAPUDI ROAD, KAKINADA URBAN, KAKINADA DIST.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, THROUGH THE SHO OF SARPAVARAM POLICE STATION, KAKINADA DIST. REP. BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR AT THE HIGH COURT OF AP, AMARAVATI.
2. SANTHISRI KUPPALA, W/O VEERABHADRA RAO, OCC HOUSE WIFE, DO.NO.46-18-19, LAKSHMINARASIMHA GROUP HOUSE, DANAVAIPETA, RAJAMAHENDRAVARAM EAST GODAVARI DIST.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT(S):

Petition under Section 437/438/439/482 of Cr.P.C and 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court pleased to enlarge Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 & 5 on bail in the event of arrest in connection with FIR No.444/2025 on the file of Sarpavaram Police Station, Kakinada Dist. Dt 17-11-2025 and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused(S):

1.DASANI VENKATA RAMANA

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant(S):

1.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 12110 of 2025****Order:**

The Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity, 'the BNSS'), by the petitioners, who are Accused Nos.1 and 5, seeking anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No.444 of 2025 of Sarpavaram Police Station, Kakinada District, registered for the offences under Sections 329(3), 74, 308(5), 351(3) read with 3(5) of BNS.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief is that the complainant on 17.11.2025 at 06.00 p.m., lodged report stating that she and her brother purchased 600 sq. yards of vacant land in Kakinada under Registered Sale Deeds from Smt. Nattla Raghavamma in the year 1988. On 12.10.2025 at about 3.30 p.m., one Katru Nagababu along with two others trespassed into the property, abused her, threatened to kill her and forcibly extorted the gold chain from her neck. On the same day, at about 5.30 p.m., all the accused and others armed with deadly weapons, criminally trespassed into the complainant's property. The accused persons allegedly created forged documents in an attempt to fraudulently occupy the land.

3. Heard Sri Dasani Venkataramana, learned counsel for the petitioners and Ms. K. Priyanka Lakshmi, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor representing the respondent/State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are falsely implicated in the present crime. The ingredients of offences under

Sections 329(3), 74, 308(5), 351(3) read with 3(5) of BNS does not attract to the present case. Only basing on the false allegations, the petitioners are roped into the present crime. The petitioners are ready to furnish sureties to the satisfaction of the Court to secure their presence and hence, prays to allow the petition.

5. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor would submit that investigation is at nascent stage and there are specific accusations against the petitioners herein and hence, prays for dismissal of petition.

6. A perusal of the material on record would show that there are specific accusations against the petitioners herein that the petitioners with common intention criminally intimidated the complainant and caused mischief, abused her, threatened to kill her and forcibly extorted her. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor would submit that investigation is at nascent stage and there are specific accusations against the petitioners that they threatened to kill the complainant. Taking into consideration of the stage of the investigation and severe accusations leveled against the petitioners, this is not the stage to consider the plea of the petitioners for anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 24.02.2026
ARB

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 12110 of 2025

Date: 24.02.2026

ARB