



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3208]

WEDNESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

WRIT PETITION NO: 32586/2025

Between:

1.KUNJAVENGALA RAO,, S/O. KUNJAVENKAPPA, AGED ABOUT 69
YEARS, BANDIVARIGUDEM, MARRIGUDEM, T
NARASAPURAMMANDALAM, ELURU DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
VELAGAPUDI AMARAVATI.

2.THE COLLECTOR, ELURU DISTRICT, ELURU.

3.THE TAHSILDAR, T.NARASAPURAMMANDALAM, ELURU DISTRICT.

4.THE MANDAL SURVEYOR, T.NARASAPURAMMANDALAM, ELURU,
WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

5.THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, T.NARASAPURAM POLICE
STATION, T.NARASAPURAM.

6.TATISURIBABU, S/O GANGA RAJU, MARRIGUDEM VILLAGE,
T.NARASAPURAMMANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT.

7.PALADUGULADURGA RAO, S/O.SATYANARAYANA
BANDIVARIGUDEM, MARRIGUDEM, T NARASAPURAMMANDALAM,
ELURU, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in trying to dispossess the petitioners in respect of land an extent of 3.35 cents in Sy.No.576 of MarriGudem, T.Narasapuram Mandalam, Eluru District without following the procedure contemplated under law as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violative of Article 300-A of the Constitution of India and against the principles of natural justice and consequently direct the Respondents to dispossess the petitioners in respect of their lands, each measuring an extent 3.35 cents in Sy.No.576 of MarriGudem, T.Narasapuram Mandalam, Eluru District, and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents not to dispossess the petitioner land an extent 3.35 cents in Sy.No. 576 of MarriGudem, T NarasapuramMandalam, Eluru District, and pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent Nos.3 and 4 to conduct survey petitioner land an extent 3.35 cents in Sy.No.576 of MarriGudem, T NarasapuramMandalam, Eluru District, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.VIJAYA KUMAR SATA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

2.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The present Writ Petition is filed, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking the following relief:

“to issue any writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or an appropriate writ or order declaring the action of the respondents in trying to dispossess the petitioners in respect of land an extent of 3.35 cents in Sy.No.576 of MarriGudem, T.Narasapuram Mandalam, Eluru District without following the procedure contemplated under law as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violative of Article 300-A of the Constitution of India and against the principles of natural justice and consequently direct the Respondents to dispossess the petitioners in respect of their lands, each measuring an extent 3.35 cents in Sy.No.576 of MarriGudem, T.Narasapuram Mandalam, Eluru District, and pass....”

2. Heard M/s. Vijaya Kumar Sata, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for respondents.
3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for respondents, on written instructions from respondent no.3 dated 02.12.2025, submitted that there are certain disputes with the unofficial respondents as the respondent no.7 and his son purchased land in an extent of Ac.5.04cents during the year 2021 and both the petitioner and the respondent no.7 and his son are enjoying the land in the same way nearly from 50 years. The subject land is the ancestral property to both the petitioner and vendors of respondent no.7 and the official respondents are not interfering with their possession of the subject land.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that by recording the above said submissions, the Writ Petition may be closed and liberty may be given to approach the competent civil Court if the petitioner and the respondent nos.6 and 7 have any grievance.

5. By recording the submissions of the learned Assistant Government Pleader, the Writ Petition is closed giving liberty to the petitioner to approach the competent civil Court if he has any grievance against respondent nos.6 and 7. No costs.

As a sequel thereto, interlocutory applications pending, if any, in the writ petition, shall also stand closed.

10.12.2025
RD

JUSTICE D.RAMESH

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.RAMESH

WRIT PETITION NO.32586 of 2025

10.12.2025

RD