

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI
TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX



:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 12150 OF 2025

Between:

Choutupalli Kavitha, W/o Rajesh, Aged about 35 years, R/o. 6-83/1, Pathagudem beside Lipton Gowdown, Enikepadu Village, Vijayawada Rural, NTR District.

Petitioner/Accused No.1

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Station House Officer, Patamata Police Station, NTR District, Rep. by Public Prosecutor, High Court of AP at Amaravathi.
2. Varikuti Rambabu, S/o Krupanandam, Aged about 48 years, R/o D. No. 6-69, Enikepadu, Vijayawada, NTR District.

Respondents/Defacto Complainant

WHEREAS the Petitioner/Accused above named through her Advocate Sri YARRAMSETTY VENKATESH presented this Petition under Section 528 of BNSS, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the criminal proceedings against the Petitioner/Accused No. 1 in FIR. No. 554 of 2025 on the file of Patamata PS, erstwhile Krishna District/NTR District for the alleged offenses under Section 341, 506 IPC;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit/memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri YARRAMSETTY VENKATESH Advocate for the Petitioner, Public Prosecutor for R1 directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.2

herein to show cause as to why this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Varikuti Rambabu, S/o Krupanandam, R/o D. No. 6-69, Enikepadu, Vijayawada, NTR District.

are be and hereby directed to show cause either appearing in person or through an Advocate as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted within twelve weeks.

IA NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings against Petitioner/Accused No. 1 in FIR. No. 554 of 2025 on the file of Patamata PS, Erstwhile Krishna, NTR District, for the alleged offenses under Section 341, 506 IPC BNS, pending disposal of CRLP No. 12150 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The Court made the following: ORDER

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Public Prosecutor.

Issue notice to Respondent No.2.

Learned Counsel for the Petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice on Respondent No.2 and file proof to that effect.

Petitioner is a practicing advocate at Vijayawada. The alleged offence, according to the version of the Petitioner, occurred on 27.08.2024. Whereas the Respondent No.2 has chosen to lodge a report on 07.11.2025 i.e, nearly after 437 days. There was no explanation let alone plausible explanation for the delay caused in lodged the report with the police.

Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, there shall be stay of all further proceedings in FIR.No.554 of 2025 on the file

of Patamata Police Station, NTR Commissionerate until the next date of hearing.

Post after twelve weeks.

//TRUE COPY//

For

SD/- K.SRINIVASA RAJU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Station House Officer, Patamata Police Station, NTR Commissionerate.
2. Varikuti Rambabu, S/o Krupanandam, R/o D. No. 6-69, Enikepadu, Vijayawada, NTR District. (by **RPAD**- along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds)
3. One CC to SRI. YARRAMSETTY VENKATESH Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court of A.P. [OUT]
5. One spare copy.

HIGH COURT

DR.YLR,J

DATED:24/03/2026

POST AFTER TWELVE WEEKS

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

CRLP.No.12150 of 2025

STAY

