



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3333]

WEDNESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

WRIT PETITION NO: 32171/2023

Between:

1.M.N. SANDEEP KRISHNA,, S/O M. ANJANEYULU AGED ABOUT 35
YEARS, R/OGORIDINDLA VILLAGE, ATMAKUR MANDAL,
ANANTAPURAMU DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...PETITIONER

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
- 2.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, FINANCE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS,
VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
- 3.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ANANTAPURAMU DISTRICT.
- 4.THE ENGINEERINCHIEF, IRRIGATION AND CAD DEPARTMENT,
VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT.
- 5.THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, WATER RESOURCES DEPTAMENT
IB DIVISION, ANANTAPURAMU DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the
circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be
pleased to pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in
the nature of writ of MANDAMUS declaring the action of the respondents in

not releasing the amount of Rs.8,44,194/- to the petitioner even after completion of works vide Agreement.No. 235/2018-19 and Agreement.No. 228/2018-19 entered with the 5th respondent under the Neeru-Chettu Scheme, even after finalizing the bills way back in 2019 as illegal, arbitrary, and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and also opposed to the settled principles of law, and consequently direct the respondents to forthwith release the total amount of Rs.8,44,194/- payable to the petitioner for the completion of works Agreement.No. 235/2018-19 and Agreement.No. 228/2018-19, entered with the 5th respondent under the Neeru-Chettu Scheme and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2023

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to release the amount Rs.8,44,194/- to the petitioner even after completion of works vide Agreement.No. 235/2018-19 and Agree-ment.No. 228/2018-19 entered with the 5th respondent under the Neeru-Chettu. Sche-mepending disposal of the writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

- 1.SAI MANOJ REDDY L

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.GP FOR FINANCE PLANNING
- 2.GP FOR REVENUE
- 3.GP FOR IRRIGATION COMM AREA DEV

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The present writ petition came to be filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:

“....to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of MANDAMUS declaring the action of the respondents in not releasing the amount of Rs.8,44,194/- to the petitioner even after completion of works vide Agreement No. 235/2018-19 and Agreement No. 228/2018-19 entered with the 5th respondent under the Neeru-Chettu Scheme, even after finalizing the bills way back in 2019 as illegal, arbitrary, and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and also opposed to the settled principles of law, and consequently direct the respondents to forthwith release the total amount of Rs.8,44,194/- payable to the petitioner for the completion of works Agreement No.235/2018-19 and Agreement No. 228/2018-19, entered with the 5th respondent under the Neeru-Chettu Scheme”

2. Head learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Irrigation.

3. Today when the matter came up for hearing, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Irrigation has furnished a copy of the proceedings of the Executive Engineer, I.B.Division, Ananthapuramu, vide letter dated 22.09.2025, wherein it is stated that the due amounts of Rs.3,51,842/- and Rs.4,19,350/- were paid to the petitioner vide CFMS Token No.2025-729810, RBI RBI S.N.62025092018580834 and Token No.2025-731787, vide RBI RBI SN 62025092018580835, dated 20.09.2025.

4. Recording the same and since the bill amounts payable to the petitioner have already been paid by the respondents, this Court is of the opinion that no further cause survives in this writ petition.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Date: 10.12.2025
KGR

JUSTICE V.SUJATHA